

Positivity of direct images
and algebraicity of holomorphic foliations
on compact Kähler manifolds

(joint with J. Cao)

Simons Collaboration on
Moduli of Varieties
12th of March 2026

1. Introduction
2. Positivity of direct images
3. Pseudo-effectivity of line and vector bundles
4. Algebraicity criteria for foliations
5. Proof of the main theorems

Main results, I

- X is a n -dim compact Kähler manifold, $\{\alpha\} \in H^{1,1}(X, \mathbb{R})$ is a real $(1, 1)$ -class

Main results, I

- X is a n -dim compact Kähler manifold, $\{\alpha\} \in H^{1,1}(X, \mathbb{R})$ is a real $(1, 1)$ -class
- Denote by T_X^* the cotangent bundle of X , and $K_X := \Lambda^n T_X^*$ the canonical bundle

Main results, I

- X is a n -dim compact Kähler manifold, $\{\alpha\} \in H^{1,1}(X, \mathbb{R})$ is a real $(1, 1)$ -class
- Denote by T_X^* the cotangent bundle of X , and $K_X := \Lambda^n T_X^*$ the canonical bundle
- $\{\alpha\}$ is called *pseff* if $\exists T \in \{\alpha\}$ such that $T \geq 0$.

Main results, I

- X is a n -dim compact Kähler manifold, $\{\alpha\} \in H^{1,1}(X, \mathbb{R})$ is a real $(1, 1)$ -class
- Denote by T_X^* the cotangent bundle of X , and $K_X := \Lambda^n T_X^*$ the canonical bundle
- $\{\alpha\}$ is called *pseff* if $\exists T \in \{\alpha\}$ such that $T \geq 0$.
- If $\{\alpha\} = c_1(L)$, then $L = \lim E_m$, where E_m effective.

Main results, I

- X is a n -dim compact Kähler manifold, $\{\alpha\} \in H^{1,1}(X, \mathbb{R})$ is a real $(1, 1)$ -class
- Denote by T_X^* the cotangent bundle of X , and $K_X := \Lambda^n T_X^*$ the canonical bundle
- $\{\alpha\}$ is called *pseff* if $\exists T \in \{\alpha\}$ such that $T \geq 0$.
- If $\{\alpha\} = c_1(L)$, then $L = \lim E_m$, where E_m effective.

- One of the main goals of the lecture:

Main results, I

- X is a n -dim compact Kähler manifold, $\{\alpha\} \in H^{1,1}(X, \mathbb{R})$ is a real $(1, 1)$ -class
- Denote by T_X^* the cotangent bundle of X , and $K_X := \Lambda^n T_X^*$ the canonical bundle
- $\{\alpha\}$ is called *pseff* if $\exists T \in \{\alpha\}$ such that $T \geq 0$.
- If $\{\alpha\} = c_1(L)$, then $L = \lim E_m$, where E_m effective.

- One of the main goals of the lecture:

Theorem (... , [CP19], [CP25])

Let X be a compact Kähler manifold such that K_X is pseff.

Main results, I

- X is a n -dim compact Kähler manifold, $\{\alpha\} \in H^{1,1}(X, \mathbb{R})$ is a real $(1, 1)$ -class
- Denote by T_X^* the cotangent bundle of X , and $K_X := \Lambda^n T_X^*$ the canonical bundle
- $\{\alpha\}$ is called *pseff* if $\exists T \in \{\alpha\}$ such that $T \geq 0$.
- If $\{\alpha\} = c_1(L)$, then $L = \lim E_m$, where E_m effective.

- One of the main goals of the lecture:

Theorem (... , [CP19], [CP25])

Let X be a compact Kähler manifold such that K_X is pseff. Then for any quotient

$$\otimes^m T_X^* \rightarrow Q \rightarrow 0$$

Main results, I

- X is a n -dim compact Kähler manifold, $\{\alpha\} \in H^{1,1}(X, \mathbb{R})$ is a real $(1, 1)$ -class
- Denote by T_X^* the cotangent bundle of X , and $K_X := \Lambda^n T_X^*$ the canonical bundle
- $\{\alpha\}$ is called *pseff* if $\exists T \in \{\alpha\}$ such that $T \geq 0$.
- If $\{\alpha\} = c_1(L)$, then $L = \lim E_m$, where E_m effective.
- One of the main goals of the lecture:

Theorem (... , [CP19], [CP25])

Let X be a compact Kähler manifold such that K_X is pseff. Then for any quotient

$$\otimes^m T_X^* \rightarrow Q \rightarrow 0$$

we have $\det Q$ pseff.

Main results, I

- X is a n -dim compact Kähler manifold, $\{\alpha\} \in H^{1,1}(X, \mathbb{R})$ is a real $(1, 1)$ -class
- Denote by T_X^* the cotangent bundle of X , and $K_X := \Lambda^n T_X^*$ the canonical bundle
- $\{\alpha\}$ is called *pseff* if $\exists T \in \{\alpha\}$ such that $T \geq 0$.
- If $\{\alpha\} = c_1(L)$, then $L = \lim E_m$, where E_m effective.
- One of the main goals of the lecture:

Theorem (... , [CP19], [CP25])

Let X be a compact Kähler manifold such that K_X is pseff. Then for any quotient

$$\otimes^m T_X^* \rightarrow Q \rightarrow 0$$

we have $\det Q$ pseff.

- Can be seen as generalisation of Miyaoka's semi-positivity theorem.

Main results, I

- X is a n -dim compact Kähler manifold, $\{\alpha\} \in H^{1,1}(X, \mathbb{R})$ is a real $(1, 1)$ -class
- Denote by T_X^* the cotangent bundle of X , and $K_X := \Lambda^n T_X^*$ the canonical bundle
- $\{\alpha\}$ is called *pseff* if $\exists T \in \{\alpha\}$ such that $T \geq 0$.
- If $\{\alpha\} = c_1(L)$, then $L = \lim E_m$, where E_m effective.
- One of the main goals of the lecture:

Theorem (... , [CP19], [CP25])

Let X be a compact Kähler manifold such that K_X is pseff. Then for any quotient

$$\otimes^m T_X^* \rightarrow Q \rightarrow 0$$

we have $\det Q$ pseff.

- Can be seen as generalisation of Miyaoka's semi-positivity theorem.
- Proof is rather indirect, based on a result we next discuss.

Main results, I

- X is a n -dim compact Kähler manifold, $\{\alpha\} \in H^{1,1}(X, \mathbb{R})$ is a real $(1, 1)$ -class
- Denote by T_X^* the cotangent bundle of X , and $K_X := \Lambda^n T_X^*$ the canonical bundle
- $\{\alpha\}$ is called *pseff* if $\exists T \in \{\alpha\}$ such that $T \geq 0$.
- If $\{\alpha\} = c_1(L)$, then $L = \lim E_m$, where E_m effective.
- One of the main goals of the lecture:

Theorem (... , [CP19], [CP25])

Let X be a compact Kähler manifold such that K_X is pseff. Then for any quotient

$$\otimes^m T_X^* \rightarrow Q \rightarrow 0$$

we have $\det Q$ pseff.

- Can be seen as generalisation of Miyaoka's semi-positivity theorem.
- Proof is rather indirect, based on a result we next discuss.
- So far, there is no *differential geometric* argument.

Main results, II

- Let $\gamma \in \text{Mov}(X)$, e.g. $\gamma = g^{n-1}$ where g is a Gauduchon metric, $\partial\bar{\partial}g^{n-1} = 0$.

Main results, II

- Let $\gamma \in \text{Mov}(X)$, e.g. $\gamma = g^{n-1}$ where g is a Gauduchon metric, $\partial\bar{\partial}g^{n-1} = 0$.
- \mathcal{E} is a coherent, torsion-free sheaf; its *slope* with respect to γ :

Main results, II

- Let $\gamma \in \text{Mov}(X)$, e.g. $\gamma = g^{n-1}$ where g is a Gauduchon metric, $\partial\bar{\partial}g^{n-1} = 0$.
- \mathcal{E} is a coherent, torsion-free sheaf; its *slope* with respect to γ :

$$\blacktriangleright \mu_\gamma(\mathcal{E}) := \frac{1}{\text{rk}\mathcal{E}} \int_X c_1(\mathcal{E}) \wedge \gamma$$

Main results, II

- Let $\gamma \in \text{Mov}(X)$, e.g. $\gamma = g^{n-1}$ where g is a Gauduchon metric, $\partial\bar{\partial}g^{n-1} = 0$.
- \mathcal{E} is a coherent, torsion-free sheaf; its *slope* with respect to γ :

$$\blacktriangleright \mu_\gamma(\mathcal{E}) := \frac{1}{\text{rk}\mathcal{E}} \int_X c_1(\mathcal{E}) \wedge \gamma$$

$$\blacktriangleright \mu_{\gamma, \min}(\mathcal{E}) := \inf\{\mu_\gamma(\mathcal{Q}) : \mathcal{E} \rightarrow \mathcal{Q} \rightarrow 0\}$$

Main results, II

- Let $\gamma \in \text{Mov}(X)$, e.g. $\gamma = g^{n-1}$ where g is a Gauduchon metric, $\partial\bar{\partial}g^{n-1} = 0$.
- \mathcal{E} is a coherent, torsion-free sheaf; its *slope* with respect to γ :

$$\blacktriangleright \mu_\gamma(\mathcal{E}) := \frac{1}{\text{rk}\mathcal{E}} \int_X c_1(\mathcal{E}) \wedge \gamma$$

$$\blacktriangleright \mu_{\gamma, \min}(\mathcal{E}) := \inf\{\mu_\gamma(\mathcal{Q}) : \mathcal{E} \rightarrow \mathcal{Q} \rightarrow 0\}$$

Theorem (... , [CP19], [O25], [CP25])

Let X be a compact Kähler manifold, $\mathcal{F} \subset T_X$ foliation, $\mu_{\gamma, \min}(\mathcal{F}) > 0$ for some $\gamma \in \text{Mov}(X)$.

Main results, II

- Let $\gamma \in \text{Mov}(X)$, e.g. $\gamma = g^{n-1}$ where g is a Gauduchon metric, $\partial\bar{\partial}g^{n-1} = 0$.
- \mathcal{E} is a coherent, torsion-free sheaf; its *slope* with respect to γ :

$$\blacktriangleright \mu_\gamma(\mathcal{E}) := \frac{1}{\text{rk}\mathcal{E}} \int_X c_1(\mathcal{E}) \wedge \gamma$$

$$\blacktriangleright \mu_{\gamma, \min}(\mathcal{E}) := \inf\{\mu_\gamma(\mathcal{Q}) : \mathcal{E} \rightarrow \mathcal{Q} \rightarrow 0\}$$

Theorem (... , [CP19], [O25], [CP25])

Let X be a compact Kähler manifold, $\mathcal{F} \subset T_X$ foliation, $\mu_{\gamma, \min}(\mathcal{F}) > 0$ for some $\gamma \in \text{Mov}(X)$. Then there exists

$$p : X \dashrightarrow Y$$

Main results, II

- Let $\gamma \in \text{Mov}(X)$, e.g. $\gamma = g^{n-1}$ where g is a Gauduchon metric, $\partial\bar{\partial}g^{n-1} = 0$.
- \mathcal{E} is a coherent, torsion-free sheaf; its *slope* with respect to γ :

$$\blacktriangleright \mu_\gamma(\mathcal{E}) := \frac{1}{\text{rk}\mathcal{E}} \int_X c_1(\mathcal{E}) \wedge \gamma$$

$$\blacktriangleright \mu_{\gamma, \min}(\mathcal{E}) := \inf\{\mu_\gamma(\mathcal{Q}) : \mathcal{E} \rightarrow \mathcal{Q} \rightarrow 0\}$$

Theorem (... , [CP19], [O25], [CP25])

Let X be a compact Kähler manifold, $\mathcal{F} \subset T_X$ foliation, $\mu_{\gamma, \min}(\mathcal{F}) > 0$ for some $\gamma \in \text{Mov}(X)$. Then there exists

$$p : X \dashrightarrow Y$$

such that generically $\mathcal{F} = T_{X/Y}$, fibers are rationally connected.

Main results, II

- Let $\gamma \in \text{Mov}(X)$, e.g. $\gamma = g^{n-1}$ where g is a Gauduchon metric, $\partial\bar{\partial}g^{n-1} = 0$.
- \mathcal{E} is a coherent, torsion-free sheaf; its *slope* with respect to γ :
 - ▶ $\mu_\gamma(\mathcal{E}) := \frac{1}{\text{rk}\mathcal{E}} \int_X c_1(\mathcal{E}) \wedge \gamma$
 - ▶ $\mu_{\gamma, \min}(\mathcal{E}) := \inf\{\mu_\gamma(\mathcal{Q}) : \mathcal{E} \rightarrow \mathcal{Q} \rightarrow 0\}$

Theorem (... , [CP19], [O25], [CP25])

Let X be a compact Kähler manifold, $\mathcal{F} \subset T_X$ foliation, $\mu_{\gamma, \min}(\mathcal{F}) > 0$ for some $\gamma \in \text{Mov}(X)$. Then there exists

$$p : X \dashrightarrow Y$$

such that generically $\mathcal{F} = T_{X/Y}$, fibers are rationally connected.

- In case $\mathcal{F} = T_X$, this is due to W. Ou.

Main results, II

- Let $\gamma \in \text{Mov}(X)$, e.g. $\gamma = g^{n-1}$ where g is a Gauduchon metric, $\partial\bar{\partial}g^{n-1} = 0$.
- \mathcal{E} is a coherent, torsion-free sheaf; its *slope* with respect to γ :

$$\blacktriangleright \mu_\gamma(\mathcal{E}) := \frac{1}{\text{rk}\mathcal{E}} \int_X c_1(\mathcal{E}) \wedge \gamma$$

$$\blacktriangleright \mu_{\gamma, \min}(\mathcal{E}) := \inf\{\mu_\gamma(\mathcal{Q}) : \mathcal{E} \rightarrow \mathcal{Q} \rightarrow 0\}$$

Theorem (... , [CP19], [O25], [CP25])

Let X be a compact Kähler manifold, $\mathcal{F} \subset T_X$ foliation, $\mu_{\gamma, \min}(\mathcal{F}) > 0$ for some $\gamma \in \text{Mov}(X)$. Then there exists

$$p : X \dashrightarrow Y$$

such that generically $\mathcal{F} = T_{X/Y}$, fibers are rationally connected.

- In case $\mathcal{F} = T_X$, this is due to W. Ou.
- It follows that X is uniruled and K_X not pseff.

Main results, II

- Let $\gamma \in \text{Mov}(X)$, e.g. $\gamma = g^{n-1}$ where g is a Gauduchon metric, $\partial\bar{\partial}g^{n-1} = 0$.
- \mathcal{E} is a coherent, torsion-free sheaf; its *slope* with respect to γ :

$$\blacktriangleright \mu_\gamma(\mathcal{E}) := \frac{1}{\text{rk}\mathcal{E}} \int_X c_1(\mathcal{E}) \wedge \gamma$$

$$\blacktriangleright \mu_{\gamma, \min}(\mathcal{E}) := \inf\{\mu_\gamma(\mathcal{Q}) : \mathcal{E} \rightarrow \mathcal{Q} \rightarrow 0\}$$

Theorem (... , [CP19], [O25], [CP25])

Let X be a compact Kähler manifold, $\mathcal{F} \subset T_X$ foliation, $\mu_{\gamma, \min}(\mathcal{F}) > 0$ for some $\gamma \in \text{Mov}(X)$. Then there exists

$$p : X \dashrightarrow Y$$

such that generically $\mathcal{F} = T_{X/Y}$, fibers are rationally connected.

- In case $\mathcal{F} = T_X$, this is due to W. Ou.
- It follows that X is uniruled and K_X not pseff.
- Main techniques involved in the proofs: positivity of direct images and algebraicity criteria for holomorphic foliations

A few results

- The beginnings: Nishino, Maitani-Yamaguchi (complex variables) Griffiths, Fujita, Viehweg (algebraic geometry).

A few results

- The beginnings: Nishino, Maitani-Yamaguchi (complex variables) Griffiths, Fujita, Viehweg (algebraic geometry). Generalisation by Berndtsson:

A few results

- The beginnings: Nishino, Maitani-Yamaguchi (complex variables) Griffiths, Fujita, Viehweg (algebraic geometry). Generalisation by Berndtsson:

Theorem ([B05])

Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{C}^k \times \mathbb{C}^n$ be a pseudo-convex domain, and $\varphi \in \text{Psh}(\Omega)$.

A few results

- The beginnings: Nishino, Maitani-Yamaguchi (complex variables) Griffiths, Fujita, Viehweg (algebraic geometry). Generalisation by Berndtsson:

Theorem ([B05])

Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{C}^k \times \mathbb{C}^n$ be a pseudo-convex domain, and $\varphi \in \text{Psh}(\Omega)$. For $t \in \mathbb{C}^k$, consider the Bergman kernel $K_t^\varphi(\cdot, \cdot)$ of the slice $(\Omega_t, e^{-\varphi|_{\Omega_t}})$. Then $(t, z) \rightarrow \log K_t^\varphi(z, z)$ is psh, i.e.

A few results

- The beginnings: Nishino, Maitani-Yamaguchi (complex variables) Griffiths, Fujita, Viehweg (algebraic geometry). Generalisation by Berndtsson:

Theorem ([B05])

Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{C}^k \times \mathbb{C}^n$ be a pseudo-convex domain, and $\varphi \in \text{Psh}(\Omega)$. For $t \in \mathbb{C}^k$, consider the Bergman kernel $K_t^\varphi(\cdot, \cdot)$ of the slice $(\Omega_t, e^{-\varphi|_{\Omega_t}})$. Then $(t, z) \rightarrow \log K_t^\varphi(z, z)$ is psh, i.e. $\sqrt{-1}\partial\bar{\partial} \log K_t^\varphi(z, z) \geq 0$.

A few results

- The beginnings: Nishino, Maitani-Yamaguchi (complex variables) Griffiths, Fujita, Viehweg (algebraic geometry). Generalisation by Berndtsson:

Theorem ([B05])

Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{C}^k \times \mathbb{C}^n$ be a pseudo-convex domain, and $\varphi \in \text{Psh}(\Omega)$. For $t \in \mathbb{C}^k$, consider the Bergman kernel $K_t^\varphi(\cdot, \cdot)$ of the slice $(\Omega_t, e^{-\varphi|_{\Omega_t}})$. Then $(t, z) \rightarrow \log K_t^\varphi(z, z)$ is psh, i.e. $\sqrt{-1}\partial\bar{\partial} \log K_t^\varphi(z, z) \geq 0$.

- This applies e.g. in case $\varphi = c \log(\sum_i |f_i|^2)$, where $f_i \in \mathcal{O}(\Omega)$ and $c > 0$.

A few results

- The beginnings: Nishino, Maitani-Yamaguchi (complex variables) Griffiths, Fujita, Viehweg (algebraic geometry). Generalisation by Berndtsson:

Theorem ([B05])

Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{C}^k \times \mathbb{C}^n$ be a pseudo-convex domain, and $\varphi \in \text{Psh}(\Omega)$. For $t \in \mathbb{C}^k$, consider the Bergman kernel $K_t^\varphi(\cdot, \cdot)$ of the slice $(\Omega_t, e^{-\varphi|_{\Omega_t}})$. Then $(t, z) \rightarrow \log K_t^\varphi(z, z)$ is psh, i.e. $\sqrt{-1}\partial\bar{\partial} \log K_t^\varphi(z, z) \geq 0$.

- This applies e.g. in case $\varphi = c \log(\sum_i |f_i|^2)$, where $f_i \in \mathcal{O}(\Omega)$ and $c > 0$.
- We have $K_t^\varphi(z, z) = \sum_i |s_{i,t}(z)|^2$, where $(s_{i,t})_{i \geq 1}$ on basis of the space of holomorphic L^2 functions on $(\Omega_t, e^{-\varphi|_{\Omega_t}})$.

A few results

- The beginnings: Nishino, Maitani-Yamaguchi (complex variables) Griffiths, Fujita, Viehweg (algebraic geometry). Generalisation by Berndtsson:

Theorem ([B05])

Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{C}^k \times \mathbb{C}^n$ be a pseudo-convex domain, and $\varphi \in \text{Psh}(\Omega)$. For $t \in \mathbb{C}^k$, consider the Bergman kernel $K_t^\varphi(\cdot, \cdot)$ of the slice $(\Omega_t, e^{-\varphi|_{\Omega_t}})$. Then $(t, z) \rightarrow \log K_t^\varphi(z, z)$ is psh, i.e. $\sqrt{-1}\partial\bar{\partial} \log K_t^\varphi(z, z) \geq 0$.

- This applies e.g. in case $\varphi = c \log(\sum_i |f_i|^2)$, where $f_i \in \mathcal{O}(\Omega)$ and $c > 0$.
- We have $K_t^\varphi(z, z) = \sum_i |s_{i,t}(z)|^2$, where $(s_{i,t})_{i \geq 1}$ on basis of the space of holomorphic L^2 functions on $(\Omega_t, e^{-\varphi|_{\Omega_t}})$.
- This was subsequently refined:

A few results

- The beginnings: Nishino, Maitani-Yamaguchi (complex variables) Griffiths, Fujita, Viehweg (algebraic geometry). Generalisation by Berndtsson:

Theorem ([B05])

Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{C}^k \times \mathbb{C}^n$ be a pseudo-convex domain, and $\varphi \in \text{Psh}(\Omega)$. For $t \in \mathbb{C}^k$, consider the Bergman kernel $K_t^\varphi(\cdot, \cdot)$ of the slice $(\Omega_t, e^{-\varphi|_{\Omega_t}})$. Then $(t, z) \rightarrow \log K_t^\varphi(z, z)$ is psh, i.e. $\sqrt{-1}\partial\bar{\partial} \log K_t^\varphi(z, z) \geq 0$.

- This applies e.g. in case $\varphi = c \log(\sum_i |f_i|^2)$, where $f_i \in \mathcal{O}(\Omega)$ and $c > 0$.
- We have $K_t^\varphi(z, z) = \sum_i |s_{i,t}(z)|^2$, where $(s_{i,t})_{i \geq 1}$ on basis of the space of holomorphic L^2 functions on $(\Omega_t, e^{-\varphi|_{\Omega_t}})$.
- This was subsequently refined:

Theorem ([BP08], [CP17], [PT18], [HPS18] ...)

Let $p: X \rightarrow Y$ be an algebraic fiber space, and let (L, h_L) be a line bundle over Y , such that $\sqrt{-1}\Theta(L, h_L) \geq 0$.

A few results

- The beginnings: Nishino, Maitani-Yamaguchi (complex variables) Griffiths, Fujita, Viehweg (algebraic geometry). Generalisation by Berndtsson:

Theorem ([B05])

Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{C}^k \times \mathbb{C}^n$ be a pseudo-convex domain, and $\varphi \in \text{Psh}(\Omega)$. For $t \in \mathbb{C}^k$, consider the Bergman kernel $K_t^\varphi(\cdot, \cdot)$ of the slice $(\Omega_t, e^{-\varphi|_{\Omega_t}})$. Then $(t, z) \rightarrow \log K_t^\varphi(z, z)$ is psh, i.e. $\sqrt{-1}\partial\bar{\partial} \log K_t^\varphi(z, z) \geq 0$.

- This applies e.g. in case $\varphi = c \log(\sum_i |f_i|^2)$, where $f_i \in \mathcal{O}(\Omega)$ and $c > 0$.
- We have $K_t^\varphi(z, z) = \sum_i |s_{i,t}(z)|^2$, where $(s_{i,t})_{i \geq 1}$ on basis of the space of holomorphic L^2 functions on $(\Omega_t, e^{-\varphi|_{\Omega_t}})$.
- This was subsequently refined:

Theorem ([BP08], [CP17], [PT18], [HPS18] ...)

Let $p: X \rightarrow Y$ be an algebraic fiber space, and let (L, h_L) be a line bundle over Y , such that $\sqrt{-1}\Theta(L, h_L) \geq 0$. Then the L^2 metric $h_{Y/X}$ on $p_* (\mathcal{O}_Y(K_{Y/X} + L) \otimes \mathcal{I}(h_L))$

A few results

- The beginnings: Nishino, Maitani-Yamaguchi (complex variables) Griffiths, Fujita, Viehweg (algebraic geometry). Generalisation by Berndtsson:

Theorem ([B05])

Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{C}^k \times \mathbb{C}^n$ be a pseudo-convex domain, and $\varphi \in \text{Psh}(\Omega)$. For $t \in \mathbb{C}^k$, consider the Bergman kernel $K_t^\varphi(\cdot, \cdot)$ of the slice $(\Omega_t, e^{-\varphi|_{\Omega_t}})$. Then $(t, z) \rightarrow \log K_t^\varphi(z, z)$ is psh, i.e. $\sqrt{-1}\partial\bar{\partial} \log K_t^\varphi(z, z) \geq 0$.

- This applies e.g. in case $\varphi = c \log(\sum_i |f_i|^2)$, where $f_i \in \mathcal{O}(\Omega)$ and $c > 0$.
- We have $K_t^\varphi(z, z) = \sum_i |s_{i,t}(z)|^2$, where $(s_{i,t})_{i \geq 1}$ on basis of the space of holomorphic L^2 functions on $(\Omega_t, e^{-\varphi|_{\Omega_t}})$.
- This was subsequently refined:

Theorem ([BP08], [CP17], [PT18], [HPS18] ...)

Let $p: X \rightarrow Y$ be an algebraic fiber space, and let (L, h_L) be a line bundle over Y , such that $\sqrt{-1}\Theta(L, h_L) \geq 0$. Then the L^2 metric $h_{Y/X}$ on $p_*(\mathcal{O}_Y(K_{Y/X} + L) \otimes \mathcal{I}(h_L))$ is positively curved in the sense of Griffiths.

A conjecture by Cao-Höring, I

A few comments about the previous result

A conjecture by Cao-Höring, I

A few comments about the previous result

- ▶ The (local) projectivity of p would be sufficient,

A conjecture by Cao-Höring, I

A few comments about the previous result

- ▶ The (local) projectivity of p would be sufficient, used in approximation process.

A conjecture by Cao-Höring, I

A few comments about the previous result

- ▶ The (local) projectivity of p would be sufficient, used in approximation process.
- ▶ Consequence: *if $\mathcal{I}(h_L) = \mathcal{O}_X$ and $K_{X_y} + L|_{X_y}$ pseff, then $K_{X/Y} + L$ pseff.*

A conjecture by Cao-Höring, I

A few comments about the previous result

- ▶ The (local) projectivity of p would be sufficient, used in approximation process.
- ▶ Consequence: *if $\mathcal{I}(h_L) = \mathcal{O}_X$ and $K_{X_y} + L|_{X_y}$ pseff, then $K_{X/Y} + L$ pseff.*
- ▶ What about the Kähler case?

A conjecture by Cao-Höring, I

A few comments about the previous result

- ▶ The (local) projectivity of p would be sufficient, used in approximation process.
- ▶ Consequence: *if $\mathcal{I}(h_L) = \mathcal{O}_X$ and $K_{X_y} + L|_{X_y}$ pseff, then $K_{X/Y} + L$ pseff.*
- ▶ What about the Kähler case?

The following important problem is open.

A conjecture by Cao-Höring, I

A few comments about the previous result

- ▶ The (local) projectivity of p would be sufficient, used in approximation process.
- ▶ Consequence: *if $\mathcal{I}(h_L) = \mathcal{O}_X$ and $K_{X_y} + L|_{X_y}$ pseff, then $K_{X/Y} + L$ pseff.*
- ▶ What about the Kähler case?

The following important problem is open.

Conjecture [HC]

Let $p : X \rightarrow Y$ be a holomorphic surjective map between Kähler manifolds.

A conjecture by Cao-Höring, I

A few comments about the previous result

- ▶ The (local) projectivity of p would be sufficient, used in approximation process.
- ▶ Consequence: *if $\mathcal{I}(h_L) = \mathcal{O}_X$ and $K_{X_y} + L|_{X_y}$ pseff, then $K_{X/Y} + L$ pseff.*
- ▶ What about the Kähler case?

The following important problem is open.

Conjecture [HC]

Let $p : X \rightarrow Y$ be a holomorphic surjective map between Kähler manifolds. (L, h_L) is a line bundle over X , such that $\sqrt{-1}\Theta_{h_L}(L) \geq 0$.

A conjecture by Cao-Höring, I

A few comments about the previous result

- ▶ The (local) projectivity of p would be sufficient, used in approximation process.
- ▶ Consequence: *if $\mathcal{I}(h_L) = \mathcal{O}_X$ and $K_{X_y} + L|_{X_y}$ pseff, then $K_{X/Y} + L$ pseff.*
- ▶ What about the Kähler case?

The following important problem is open.

Conjecture [HC]

Let $p : X \rightarrow Y$ be a holomorphic surjective map between Kähler manifolds. (L, h_L) is a line bundle over X , such that $\sqrt{-1}\Theta_{h_L}(L) \geq 0$. Assume that the multiplier ideal sheaf of h_L is trivial, and that $(K_X + L)|_{X_y}$ is pseff.

A conjecture by Cao-Höring, I

A few comments about the previous result

- ▶ The (local) projectivity of p would be sufficient, used in approximation process.
- ▶ Consequence: *if $\mathcal{I}(h_L) = \mathcal{O}_X$ and $K_{X_y} + L|_{X_y}$ pseff, then $K_{X/Y} + L$ pseff.*
- ▶ What about the Kähler case?

The following important problem is open.

Conjecture [HC]

Let $p : X \rightarrow Y$ be a holomorphic surjective map between Kähler manifolds. (L, h_L) is a line bundle over X , such that $\sqrt{-1}\Theta_{h_L}(L) \geq 0$. Assume that the multiplier ideal sheaf of h_L is trivial, and that $(K_X + L)|_{X_y}$ is pseff. Then $K_{X/Y} + L$ is pseff.

A conjecture by Cao-Höring, I

A few comments about the previous result

- ▶ The (local) projectivity of p would be sufficient, used in approximation process.
- ▶ Consequence: if $\mathcal{I}(h_L) = \mathcal{O}_X$ and $K_{X_y} + L|_{X_y}$ pseff, then $K_{X/Y} + L$ pseff.
- ▶ What about the Kähler case?

The following important problem is open.

Conjecture [HC]

Let $p : X \rightarrow Y$ be a holomorphic surjective map between Kähler manifolds. (L, h_L) is a line bundle over X , such that $\sqrt{-1}\Theta_{h_L}(L) \geq 0$. Assume that the multiplier ideal sheaf of h_L is trivial, and that $(K_X + L)|_{X_y}$ is pseff. Then $K_{X/Y} + L$ is pseff.

- Main difficulty: absence of a *natural* candidate.

A conjecture by Cao-Höring, I

A few comments about the previous result

- ▶ The (local) projectivity of p would be sufficient, used in approximation process.
- ▶ Consequence: if $\mathcal{I}(h_L) = \mathcal{O}_X$ and $K_{X_y} + L|_{X_y}$ pseff, then $K_{X/Y} + L$ pseff.
- ▶ What about the Kähler case?

The following important problem is open.

Conjecture [HC]

Let $p : X \rightarrow Y$ be a holomorphic surjective map between Kähler manifolds. (L, h_L) is a line bundle over X , such that $\sqrt{-1}\Theta_{h_L}(L) \geq 0$. Assume that the multiplier ideal sheaf of h_L is trivial, and that $(K_X + L)|_{X_y}$ is pseff. Then $K_{X/Y} + L$ is pseff.

- Main difficulty: absence of a *natural* candidate. Nevertheless, a particular case:

A conjecture by Cao-Höring, I

A few comments about the previous result

- ▶ The (local) projectivity of p would be sufficient, used in approximation process.
- ▶ Consequence: if $\mathcal{I}(h_L) = \mathcal{O}_X$ and $K_{X_y} + L|_{X_y}$ pseff, then $K_{X/Y} + L$ pseff.
- ▶ What about the Kähler case?

The following important problem is open.

Conjecture [HC]

Let $p : X \rightarrow Y$ be a holomorphic surjective map between Kähler manifolds. (L, h_L) is a line bundle over X , such that $\sqrt{-1}\Theta_{h_L}(L) \geq 0$. Assume that the multiplier ideal sheaf of h_L is trivial, and that $(K_X + L)|_{X_y}$ is pseff. Then $K_{X/Y} + L$ is pseff.

- Main difficulty: absence of a *natural* candidate. Nevertheless, a particular case:

Theorem [T10], [P12]

Let $\beta \in H^{1,1}(X, \mathbb{R})$ be a semi-positive class.

A conjecture by Cao-Höring, I

A few comments about the previous result

- ▶ The (local) projectivity of p would be sufficient, used in approximation process.
- ▶ Consequence: if $\mathcal{I}(h_L) = \mathcal{O}_X$ and $K_{X_y} + L|_{X_y}$ pseff, then $K_{X/Y} + L$ pseff.
- ▶ What about the Kähler case?

The following important problem is open.

Conjecture [HC]

Let $p : X \rightarrow Y$ be a holomorphic surjective map between Kähler manifolds. (L, h_L) is a line bundle over X , such that $\sqrt{-1}\Theta_{h_L}(L) \geq 0$. Assume that the multiplier ideal sheaf of h_L is trivial, and that $(K_X + L)|_{X_y}$ is pseff. Then $K_{X/Y} + L$ is pseff.

- Main difficulty: absence of a *natural* candidate. Nevertheless, a particular case:

Theorem [T10], [P12]

Let $\beta \in H^{1,1}(X, \mathbb{R})$ be a semi-positive class. We assume that the adjoint class $c_1(K_{X_y}) + \beta|_{X_y}$ is Kähler, $y \in Y$, generic. Then the relative adjoint class $c_1(K_{X/Y}) + \beta$ contains a closed positive current.

A conjecture by Cao-Höring, I

A few comments about the previous result

- ▶ The (local) projectivity of p would be sufficient, used in approximation process.
- ▶ Consequence: if $\mathcal{I}(h_L) = \mathcal{O}_X$ and $K_{X_y} + L|_{X_y}$ pseff, then $K_{X/Y} + L$ pseff.
- ▶ What about the Kähler case?

The following important problem is open.

Conjecture [HC]

Let $p : X \rightarrow Y$ be a holomorphic surjective map between Kähler manifolds. (L, h_L) is a line bundle over X , such that $\sqrt{-1}\Theta_{h_L}(L) \geq 0$. Assume that the multiplier ideal sheaf of h_L is trivial, and that $(K_X + L)|_{X_y}$ is pseff. Then $K_{X/Y} + L$ is pseff.

- Main difficulty: absence of a *natural* candidate. Nevertheless, a particular case:

Theorem [T10], [P12]

Let $\beta \in H^{1,1}(X, \mathbb{R})$ be a semi-positive class. We assume that the adjoint class $c_1(K_{X_y}) + \beta|_{X_y}$ is Kähler, $y \in Y$, generic. Then the relative adjoint class $c_1(K_{X/Y}) + \beta$ contains a closed positive current.

- Monge-Ampère theory:

A conjecture by Cao-Höring, I

A few comments about the previous result

- ▶ The (local) projectivity of p would be sufficient, used in approximation process.
- ▶ Consequence: if $\mathcal{I}(h_L) = \mathcal{O}_X$ and $K_{X_y} + L|_{X_y}$ pseff, then $K_{X/Y} + L$ pseff.
- ▶ What about the Kähler case?

The following important problem is open.

Conjecture [HC]

Let $p : X \rightarrow Y$ be a holomorphic surjective map between Kähler manifolds. (L, h_L) is a line bundle over X , such that $\sqrt{-1}\Theta_{h_L}(L) \geq 0$. Assume that the multiplier ideal sheaf of h_L is trivial, and that $(K_X + L)|_{X_y}$ is pseff. Then $K_{X/Y} + L$ is pseff.

- Main difficulty: absence of a *natural* candidate. Nevertheless, a particular case:

Theorem [T10], [P12]

Let $\beta \in H^{1,1}(X, \mathbb{R})$ be a semi-positive class. We assume that the adjoint class $c_1(K_{X_y}) + \beta|_{X_y}$ is Kähler, $y \in Y$, generic. Then the relative adjoint class $c_1(K_{X/Y}) + \beta$ contains a closed positive current.

- Monge-Ampère theory: it provides a "continuous" analogue of the Bergman kernel.

A conjecture by Cao-Höring, II

Another particular case:

A conjecture by Cao-Höring, II

Another particular case:

Theorem [CP25]

In the setting above, assume further $\exists \omega$ Kähler metric

A conjecture by Cao-Höring, II

Another particular case:

Theorem [CP25]

In the setting above, assume further $\exists \omega$ Kähler metric and a holomorphic 2-form σ on X such that $\omega + \sigma + \bar{\sigma}$ is a rational class and that the restriction of σ to the generic fiber of p vanishes.

A conjecture by Cao-Höring, II

Another particular case:

Theorem [CP25]

In the setting above, assume further $\exists \omega$ Kähler metric and a holomorphic 2-form σ on X such that $\omega + \sigma + \bar{\sigma}$ is a rational class and that the restriction of σ to the generic fiber of p vanishes. Then $K_{X/Y} + L - D(p)$ is pseudo-effective.

A conjecture by Cao-Höring, II

Another particular case:

Theorem [CP25]

In the setting above, assume further $\exists \omega$ Kähler metric and a holomorphic 2-form σ on X such that $\omega + \sigma + \bar{\sigma}$ is a rational class and that the restriction of σ to the generic fiber of p vanishes. Then $K_{X/Y} + L - D(p)$ is pseudo-effective.

- We denote by $D(p)$ the discriminant of p .

A conjecture by Cao-Höring, II

Another particular case:

Theorem [CP25]

In the setting above, assume further $\exists \omega$ Kähler metric and a holomorphic 2-form σ on X such that $\omega + \sigma + \bar{\sigma}$ is a rational class and that the restriction of σ to the generic fiber of p vanishes. Then $K_{X/Y} + L - D(p)$ is pseudo-effective.

- We denote by $D(p)$ the discriminant of p .
- Few comments:

A conjecture by Cao-Höring, II

Another particular case:

Theorem [CP25]

In the setting above, assume further $\exists \omega$ Kähler metric and a holomorphic 2-form σ on X such that $\omega + \sigma + \bar{\sigma}$ is a rational class and that the restriction of σ to the generic fiber of p vanishes. Then $K_{X/Y} + L - D(p)$ is pseudo-effective.

- We denote by $D(p)$ the discriminant of p .
- Few comments:
 - ▶ The restriction $\omega + \sigma + \bar{\sigma}|_{X_y}$ plays the role of an ample.

A conjecture by Cao-Höring, II

Another particular case:

Theorem [CP25]

In the setting above, assume further $\exists \omega$ Kähler metric and a holomorphic 2-form σ on X such that $\omega + \sigma + \bar{\sigma}$ is a rational class and that the restriction of σ to the generic fiber of p vanishes. Then $K_{X/Y} + L - D(p)$ is pseudo-effective.

- We denote by $D(p)$ the discriminant of p .
- Few comments:
 - ▶ The restriction $\omega + \sigma + \bar{\sigma}|_{X_y}$ plays the role of an ample.
 - ▶ Why it is non-trivial:

A conjecture by Cao-Höring, II

Another particular case:

Theorem [CP25]

In the setting above, assume further $\exists \omega$ Kähler metric and a holomorphic 2-form σ on X such that $\omega + \sigma + \bar{\sigma}$ is a rational class and that the restriction of σ to the generic fiber of p vanishes. Then $K_{X/Y} + L - D(p)$ is pseudo-effective.

- We denote by $D(p)$ the discriminant of p .
- Few comments:
 - ▶ The restriction $\omega + \sigma + \bar{\sigma}|_{X_y}$ plays the role of an ample.
 - ▶ Why it is non-trivial: the ample in question is only determined up to a top trivial bundle!

A conjecture by Cao-Höring, II

Another particular case:

Theorem [CP25]

In the setting above, assume further $\exists \omega$ Kähler metric and a holomorphic 2-form σ on X such that $\omega + \sigma + \bar{\sigma}$ is a rational class and that the restriction of σ to the generic fiber of p vanishes. Then $K_{X/Y} + L - D(p)$ is pseudo-effective.

- We denote by $D(p)$ the discriminant of p .
- Few comments:
 - ▶ The restriction $\omega + \sigma + \bar{\sigma}|_{X_y}$ plays the role of an ample.
 - ▶ Why it is non-trivial: the ample in question is only determined up to a top trivial bundle! The objects we construct locally above some open subset of Y might not define a global object.

A conjecture by Cao-Höring, II

Another particular case:

Theorem [CP25]

In the setting above, assume further $\exists \omega$ Kähler metric and a holomorphic 2-form σ on X such that $\omega + \sigma + \bar{\sigma}$ is a rational class and that the restriction of σ to the generic fiber of p vanishes. Then $K_{X/Y} + L - D(p)$ is pseudo-effective.

- We denote by $D(p)$ the discriminant of p .
- Few comments:
 - ▶ The restriction $\omega + \sigma + \bar{\sigma}|_{X_y}$ plays the role of an ample.
 - ▶ Why it is non-trivial: the ample in question is only determined up to a top trivial bundle! The objects we construct locally above some open subset of Y might not define a global object.
 - ▶ Why it nevertheless works:

A conjecture by Cao-Höring, II

Another particular case:

Theorem [CP25]

In the setting above, assume further $\exists \omega$ Kähler metric and a holomorphic 2-form σ on X such that $\omega + \sigma + \bar{\sigma}$ is a rational class and that the restriction of σ to the generic fiber of p vanishes. Then $K_{X/Y} + L - D(p)$ is pseudo-effective.

- We denote by $D(p)$ the discriminant of p .
- Few comments:
 - ▶ The restriction $\omega + \sigma + \bar{\sigma}|_{X_y}$ plays the role of an ample.
 - ▶ Why it is non-trivial: the ample in question is only determined up to a top trivial bundle! The objects we construct locally above some open subset of Y might not define a global object.
 - ▶ Why it nevertheless works: we can construct a natural, positive representative of

$$c_1(K_{X/Y} + L - D(p)) + \frac{1}{m}\{\omega\}.$$

Positivity criteria

- The following is due to Lamari;

Positivity criteria

- The following is due to Lamari; proof consists in a clever use of Hahn-Banach.

Positivity criteria

- The following is due to Lamari; proof consists in a clever use of Hahn-Banach.

Lemma [L99]

Let α be a real $(1, 1)$ form on X ,

Positivity criteria

- The following is due to Lamari; proof consists in a clever use of Hahn-Banach.

Lemma [L99]

Let α be a real $(1, 1)$ form on X , such that $\int_X \alpha \wedge g^{n-1} \geq 0$ for any Gauduchon metric g .

Positivity criteria

- The following is due to Lamari; proof consists in a clever use of Hahn-Banach.

Lemma [L99]

Let α be a real $(1, 1)$ form on X , such that $\int_X \alpha \wedge g^{n-1} \geq 0$ for any Gauduchon metric g . Then there exists $f \in L^1(X)$ such that $\alpha + dd^c f \geq 0$.

Positivity criteria

- The following is due to Lamari; proof consists in a clever use of Hahn-Banach.

Lemma [L99]

Let α be a real $(1, 1)$ form on X , such that $\int_X \alpha \wedge g^{n-1} \geq 0$ for any Gauduchon metric g . Then there exists $f \in L^1(X)$ such that $\alpha + dd^c f \geq 0$.

- In higher rank the following notion emerged:

Positivity criteria

- The following is due to Lamari; proof consists in a clever use of Hahn-Banach.

Lemma [L99]

Let α be a real $(1, 1)$ form on X , such that $\int_X \alpha \wedge g^{n-1} \geq 0$ for any Gauduchon metric g . Then there exists $f \in L^1(X)$ such that $\alpha + dd^c f \geq 0$.

- In higher rank the following notion emerged: \mathcal{F} is a reflexive sheaf on X .

Positivity criteria

- The following is due to Lamari; proof consists in a clever use of Hahn-Banach.

Lemma [L99]

Let α be a real $(1, 1)$ form on X , such that $\int_X \alpha \wedge g^{n-1} \geq 0$ for any Gauduchon metric g . Then there exists $f \in L^1(X)$ such that $\alpha + dd^c f \geq 0$.

- In higher rank the following notion emerged: \mathcal{F} is a reflexive sheaf on X . Then \mathcal{F} is pseff if $\forall \varepsilon > 0, \exists h_\varepsilon$ singular on $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{F}}(1)|_{\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{F}_0^*)}$ such that

Positivity criteria

- The following is due to Lamari; proof consists in a clever use of Hahn-Banach.

Lemma [L99]

Let α be a real $(1, 1)$ form on X , such that $\int_X \alpha \wedge g^{n-1} \geq 0$ for any Gauduchon metric g . Then there exists $f \in L^1(X)$ such that $\alpha + dd^c f \geq 0$.

- In higher rank the following notion emerged: \mathcal{F} is a reflexive sheaf on X . Then \mathcal{F} is pseff if $\forall \varepsilon > 0, \exists h_\varepsilon$ singular on $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{F}}(1)|_{\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{F}_0^*)}$ such that $\sqrt{-1}\Theta_{h_\varepsilon}(\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{F}}(1)) \geq -\varepsilon\omega_Y$,

Positivity criteria

- The following is due to Lamari; proof consists in a clever use of Hahn-Banach.

Lemma [L99]

Let α be a real $(1,1)$ form on X , such that $\int_X \alpha \wedge g^{n-1} \geq 0$ for any Gauduchon metric g . Then there exists $f \in L^1(X)$ such that $\alpha + dd^c f \geq 0$.

- In higher rank the following notion emerged: \mathcal{F} is a reflexive sheaf on X . Then \mathcal{F} is pseff if $\forall \varepsilon > 0, \exists h_\varepsilon$ singular on $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{F}}(1)|_{\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{F}_0^*)}$ such that $\sqrt{-1}\Theta_{h_\varepsilon}(\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{F}}(1)) \geq -\varepsilon\omega_Y$, where (Y, ω_Y) desingularisation of $\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{F}^*)$.

Positivity criteria

- The following is due to Lamari; proof consists in a clever use of Hahn-Banach.

Lemma [L99]

Let α be a real $(1, 1)$ form on X , such that $\int_X \alpha \wedge g^{n-1} \geq 0$ for any Gauduchon metric g . Then there exists $f \in L^1(X)$ such that $\alpha + dd^c f \geq 0$.

- In higher rank the following notion emerged: \mathcal{F} is a reflexive sheaf on X . Then \mathcal{F} is pseff if $\forall \varepsilon > 0, \exists h_\varepsilon$ singular on $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{F}}(1)|_{\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{F}_0^*)}$ such that $\sqrt{-1}\Theta_{h_\varepsilon}(\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{F}}(1)) \geq -\varepsilon\omega_Y$, where (Y, ω_Y) desingularisation of $\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{F}^*)$.

Lemma [D94], [M97], [O25]

Let X be a Kähler manifold, and let \mathcal{F} be a reflexive sheaf on X .

Positivity criteria

- The following is due to Lamari; proof consists in a clever use of Hahn-Banach.

Lemma [L99]

Let α be a real $(1, 1)$ form on X , such that $\int_X \alpha \wedge g^{n-1} \geq 0$ for any Gauduchon metric g . Then there exists $f \in L^1(X)$ such that $\alpha + dd^c f \geq 0$.

- In higher rank the following notion emerged: \mathcal{F} is a reflexive sheaf on X . Then \mathcal{F} is pseff if $\forall \varepsilon > 0, \exists h_\varepsilon$ singular on $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{F}}(1)|_{\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{F}^*)}$ such that $\sqrt{-1}\Theta_{h_\varepsilon}(\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{F}}(1)) \geq -\varepsilon\omega_Y$, where (Y, ω_Y) desingularisation of $\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{F}^*)$.

Lemma [D94], [M97], [O25]

Let X be a Kähler manifold, and let \mathcal{F} be a reflexive sheaf on X . If \mathcal{F} pseff, then $\mu_{\gamma, \max}(\mathcal{F}) \geq 0$ for any mobile class $\gamma \in \text{Mov}(X)$.

Positivity criteria

- The following is due to Lamari; proof consists in a clever use of Hahn-Banach.

Lemma [L99]

Let α be a real $(1, 1)$ form on X , such that $\int_X \alpha \wedge g^{n-1} \geq 0$ for any Gauduchon metric g . Then there exists $f \in L^1(X)$ such that $\alpha + dd^c f \geq 0$.

- In higher rank the following notion emerged: \mathcal{F} is a reflexive sheaf on X . Then \mathcal{F} is pseff if $\forall \varepsilon > 0, \exists h_\varepsilon$ singular on $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{F}}(1)|_{\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{F}^*)}$ such that $\sqrt{-1}\Theta_{h_\varepsilon}(\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{F}}(1)) \geq -\varepsilon\omega_Y$, where (Y, ω_Y) desingularisation of $\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{F}^*)$.

Lemma [D94], [M97], [O25]

Let X be a Kähler manifold, and let \mathcal{F} be a reflexive sheaf on X . If \mathcal{F} pseff, then $\mu_{\gamma, \max}(\mathcal{F}) \geq 0$ for any mobile class $\gamma \in \text{Mov}(X)$.

- Assume $\mathcal{F} =: E$ is a vector bundle, (h_ε) global.

Positivity criteria

- The following is due to Lamari; proof consists in a clever use of Hahn-Banach.

Lemma [L99]

Let α be a real $(1, 1)$ form on X , such that $\int_X \alpha \wedge g^{n-1} \geq 0$ for any Gauduchon metric g . Then there exists $f \in L^1(X)$ such that $\alpha + dd^c f \geq 0$.

- In higher rank the following notion emerged: \mathcal{F} is a reflexive sheaf on X . Then \mathcal{F} is pseff if $\forall \varepsilon > 0, \exists h_\varepsilon$ singular on $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{F}}(1)|_{\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{F}_0^*)}$ such that $\sqrt{-1}\Theta_{h_\varepsilon}(\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{F}}(1)) \geq -\varepsilon\omega_Y$, where (Y, ω_Y) desingularisation of $\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{F}^*)$.

Lemma [D94], [M97], [O25]

Let X be a Kähler manifold, and let \mathcal{F} be a reflexive sheaf on X . If \mathcal{F} pseff, then $\mu_{\gamma, \max}(\mathcal{F}) \geq 0$ for any mobile class $\gamma \in \text{Mov}(X)$.

- Assume $\mathcal{F} =: E$ is a vector bundle, (h_ε) global.
 - ▶ For $m \geq 0$, consider $\mathcal{G}_m := \pi_*(K_{\mathbb{P}(E^*)/X} \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}(E^*)}(m+r+1) \otimes \mathcal{I}(mh_\varepsilon))$.

Positivity criteria

- The following is due to Lamari; proof consists in a clever use of Hahn-Banach.

Lemma [L99]

Let α be a real $(1, 1)$ form on X , such that $\int_X \alpha \wedge g^{n-1} \geq 0$ for any Gauduchon metric g . Then there exists $f \in L^1(X)$ such that $\alpha + dd^c f \geq 0$.

- In higher rank the following notion emerged: \mathcal{F} is a reflexive sheaf on X . Then \mathcal{F} is pseff if $\forall \varepsilon > 0, \exists h_\varepsilon$ singular on $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{F}}(1)|_{\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{F}_0^*)}$ such that $\sqrt{-1}\Theta_{h_\varepsilon}(\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{F}}(1)) \geq -\varepsilon\omega_Y$, where (Y, ω_Y) desingularisation of $\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{F}^*)$.

Lemma [D94], [M97], [O25]

Let X be a Kähler manifold, and let \mathcal{F} be a reflexive sheaf on X . If \mathcal{F} pseff, then $\mu_{\gamma, \max}(\mathcal{F}) \geq 0$ for any mobile class $\gamma \in \text{Mov}(X)$.

- Assume $\mathcal{F} =: E$ is a vector bundle, (h_ε) global.
 - ▶ For $m \geq 0$, consider $\mathcal{G}_m := \pi_*(K_{\mathbb{P}(E^*)/X} \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}(E^*)}(m+r+1) \otimes \mathcal{I}(mh_\varepsilon))$. It is a non-trivial subsheaf of $\text{Sym}^m(E) \otimes \det E$.

Positivity criteria

- The following is due to Lamari; proof consists in a clever use of Hahn-Banach.

Lemma [L99]

Let α be a real $(1, 1)$ form on X , such that $\int_X \alpha \wedge g^{n-1} \geq 0$ for any Gauduchon metric g . Then there exists $f \in L^1(X)$ such that $\alpha + dd^c f \geq 0$.

- In higher rank the following notion emerged: \mathcal{F} is a reflexive sheaf on X . Then \mathcal{F} is pseff if $\forall \varepsilon > 0, \exists h_\varepsilon$ singular on $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{F}}(1)|_{\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{F}_0^*)}$ such that $\sqrt{-1}\Theta_{h_\varepsilon}(\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{F}}(1)) \geq -\varepsilon\omega_Y$, where (Y, ω_Y) desingularisation of $\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{F}^*)$.

Lemma [D94], [M97], [O25]

Let X be a Kähler manifold, and let \mathcal{F} be a reflexive sheaf on X . If \mathcal{F} pseff, then $\mu_{\gamma, \max}(\mathcal{F}) \geq 0$ for any mobile class $\gamma \in \text{Mov}(X)$.

- Assume $\mathcal{F} =: E$ is a vector bundle, (h_ε) global.
 - ▶ For $m \geq 0$, consider $\mathcal{G}_m := \pi_*(K_{\mathbb{P}(E^*)}/X \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}(E^*)}(m+r+1) \otimes \mathcal{I}(mh_\varepsilon))$. It is a non-trivial subsheaf of $\text{Sym}^m(E) \otimes \det E$.
 - ▶ Curvature:

Positivity criteria

- The following is due to Lamari; proof consists in a clever use of Hahn-Banach.

Lemma [L99]

Let α be a real $(1, 1)$ form on X , such that $\int_X \alpha \wedge g^{n-1} \geq 0$ for any Gauduchon metric g . Then there exists $f \in L^1(X)$ such that $\alpha + dd^c f \geq 0$.

- In higher rank the following notion emerged: \mathcal{F} is a reflexive sheaf on X . Then \mathcal{F} is pseff if $\forall \varepsilon > 0, \exists h_\varepsilon$ singular on $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{F}}(1)|_{\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{F}_0^*)}$ such that $\sqrt{-1}\Theta_{h_\varepsilon}(\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{F}}(1)) \geq -\varepsilon\omega_Y$, where (Y, ω_Y) desingularisation of $\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{F}^*)$.

Lemma [D94], [M97], [O25]

Let X be a Kähler manifold, and let \mathcal{F} be a reflexive sheaf on X . If \mathcal{F} pseff, then $\mu_{\gamma, \max}(\mathcal{F}) \geq 0$ for any mobile class $\gamma \in \text{Mov}(X)$.

- Assume $\mathcal{F} =: E$ is a vector bundle, (h_ε) global.
 - ▶ For $m \geq 0$, consider $\mathcal{G}_m := \pi_*(K_{\mathbb{P}(E^*)/X} \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}(E^*)}(m+r+1) \otimes \mathcal{I}(mh_\varepsilon))$. It is a non-trivial subsheaf of $\text{Sym}^m(E) \otimes \det E$.
 - ▶ Curvature: $\sqrt{-1}\Theta_{h_{\mathcal{G}_m}}(\mathcal{G}_m) \geq -C\omega_X \otimes \text{Id}$.

Positivity criteria

- The following is due to Lamari; proof consists in a clever use of Hahn-Banach.

Lemma [L99]

Let α be a real $(1, 1)$ form on X , such that $\int_X \alpha \wedge g^{n-1} \geq 0$ for any Gauduchon metric g . Then there exists $f \in L^1(X)$ such that $\alpha + dd^c f \geq 0$.

- In higher rank the following notion emerged: \mathcal{F} is a reflexive sheaf on X . Then \mathcal{F} is pseff if $\forall \varepsilon > 0, \exists h_\varepsilon$ singular on $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{F}}(1)|_{\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{F}_0^*)}$ such that $\sqrt{-1}\Theta_{h_\varepsilon}(\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{F}}(1)) \geq -\varepsilon\omega_Y$, where (Y, ω_Y) desingularisation of $\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{F}^*)$.

Lemma [D94], [M97], [O25]

Let X be a Kähler manifold, and let \mathcal{F} be a reflexive sheaf on X . If \mathcal{F} pseff, then $\mu_{\gamma, \max}(\mathcal{F}) \geq 0$ for any mobile class $\gamma \in \text{Mov}(X)$.

- Assume $\mathcal{F} =: E$ is a vector bundle, (h_ε) global.
 - ▶ For $m \geq 0$, consider $\mathcal{G}_m := \pi_*(K_{\mathbb{P}(E^*)/X} \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}(E^*)}(m+r+1) \otimes \mathcal{I}(mh_\varepsilon))$. It is a non-trivial subsheaf of $\text{Sym}^m(E) \otimes \det E$.
 - ▶ Curvature: $\sqrt{-1}\Theta_{h_{\mathcal{G}_m}}(\mathcal{G}_m) \geq -C\omega_X \otimes \text{Id}$. Compute the degree, take $m \rightarrow \infty$.

Few notions, main construction

Foliations: $\mathcal{F} \subset T_X$ such that $[\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{F}] \subset \mathcal{F}$ and T_X/\mathcal{F} torsion-free.

Few notions, main construction

Foliations: $\mathcal{F} \subset T_X$ such that $[\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{F}] \subset \mathcal{F}$ and T_X/\mathcal{F} torsion-free.

- ▶ We say that \mathcal{F} is *algebraic* if $\exists p : X \dashrightarrow Y$ such that generically $\mathcal{F} = T_{X/Y}$

Few notions, main construction

Foliations: $\mathcal{F} \subset T_X$ such that $[\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{F}] \subset \mathcal{F}$ and T_X/\mathcal{F} torsion-free.

- ▶ We say that \mathcal{F} is *algebraic* if $\exists p : X \dashrightarrow Y$ such that generically $\mathcal{F} = T_{X/Y}$.

Few notions, main construction

Foliations: $\mathcal{F} \subset T_X$ such that $[\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{F}] \subset \mathcal{F}$ and T_X/\mathcal{F} torsion-free.

- ▶ We say that \mathcal{F} is *algebraic* if $\exists p : X \dashrightarrow Y$ such that generically $\mathcal{F} = T_{X/Y}$.
- ▶ It follows that $\mathcal{F}|_{X_0}$ is a rank r vector bundle, $\text{codim}_X X \setminus X_0 \geq 2$.

Few notions, main construction

Foliations: $\mathcal{F} \subset T_X$ such that $[\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{F}] \subset \mathcal{F}$ and T_X/\mathcal{F} torsion-free.

- ▶ We say that \mathcal{F} is *algebraic* if $\exists p : X \rightarrow Y$ such that generically $\mathcal{F} = T_{X/Y}$.
- ▶ It follows that $\mathcal{F}|_{X_0}$ is a rank r vector bundle, $\text{codim}_X X \setminus X_0 \geq 2$.
- ▶ Given $x \in X_0$, $\exists \Omega_x \subset X_0$ and $\pi_x : \Omega_x \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^{n-r}$ submersion,

Few notions, main construction

Foliations: $\mathcal{F} \subset T_X$ such that $[\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{F}] \subset \mathcal{F}$ and T_X/\mathcal{F} torsion-free.

- ▶ We say that \mathcal{F} is *algebraic* if $\exists p : X \rightarrow Y$ such that generically $\mathcal{F} = T_{X/Y}$.
- ▶ It follows that $\mathcal{F}|_{X_0}$ is a rank r vector bundle, $\text{codim}_X X \setminus X_0 \geq 2$.
- ▶ Given $x \in X_0$, $\exists \Omega_x \subset X_0$ and $\pi_x : \Omega_x \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^{n-r}$ submersion, $\mathcal{F}|_{\Omega_x} = \ker d\pi_x$

Few notions, main construction

Foliations: $\mathcal{F} \subset T_X$ such that $[\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{F}] \subset \mathcal{F}$ and T_X/\mathcal{F} torsion-free.

- ▶ We say that \mathcal{F} is *algebraic* if $\exists p : X \dashrightarrow Y$ such that generically $\mathcal{F} = T_{X/Y}$.
- ▶ It follows that $\mathcal{F}|_{X_0}$ is a rank r vector bundle, $\text{codim}_X X \setminus X_0 \geq 2$.
- ▶ Given $x \in X_0$, $\exists \Omega_x \subset X_0$ and $\pi_x : \Omega_x \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^{n-r}$ submersion, $\mathcal{F}|_{\Omega_x} = \ker d\pi_x$ so the fibers of π_x correspond to the local leaves of \mathcal{F}

Few notions, main construction

Foliations: $\mathcal{F} \subset T_X$ such that $[\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{F}] \subset \mathcal{F}$ and T_X/\mathcal{F} torsion-free.

- ▶ We say that \mathcal{F} is *algebraic* if $\exists p : X \dashrightarrow Y$ such that generically $\mathcal{F} = T_{X/Y}$.
- ▶ It follows that $\mathcal{F}|_{X_0}$ is a rank r vector bundle, $\text{codim}_X X \setminus X_0 \geq 2$.
- ▶ Given $x \in X_0$, $\exists \Omega_x \subset X_0$ and $\pi_x : \Omega_x \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^{n-r}$ submersion, $\mathcal{F}|_{\Omega_x} = \ker d\pi_x$ so the fibers of π_x correspond to the local leaves of \mathcal{F}
- ▶ Let $(\Omega_i)_{i \in I}$ be a locally finite covering of X_0 , define $\tilde{\Omega} := \cup_i \Omega_i \times \Omega_i \subset X \times X$.

Few notions, main construction

Foliations: $\mathcal{F} \subset T_X$ such that $[\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{F}] \subset \mathcal{F}$ and T_X/\mathcal{F} torsion-free.

- ▶ We say that \mathcal{F} is *algebraic* if $\exists p : X \rightarrow Y$ such that generically $\mathcal{F} = T_{X/Y}$.
- ▶ It follows that $\mathcal{F}|_{X_0}$ is a rank r vector bundle, $\text{codim}_X X \setminus X_0 \geq 2$.
- ▶ Given $x \in X_0$, $\exists \Omega_x \subset X_0$ and $\pi_x : \Omega_x \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^{n-r}$ submersion, $\mathcal{F}|_{\Omega_x} = \ker d\pi_x$ so the fibers of π_x correspond to the local leaves of \mathcal{F}
- ▶ Let $(\Omega_i)_{i \in I}$ be a locally finite covering of X_0 , define $\tilde{\Omega} := \cup_i \Omega_i \times \Omega_i \subset X \times X$.
- ▶ Let $\Lambda \subset \tilde{\Omega}$ consisting of $(z, w) \in \tilde{\Omega}$ such that $\pi(z) = \pi(w)$. It is locally closed, analytic, of dimension $n + r$ (in general, *doesn't extend* to $X \times X$!).

Few notions, main construction

Foliations: $\mathcal{F} \subset T_X$ such that $[\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{F}] \subset \mathcal{F}$ and T_X/\mathcal{F} torsion-free.

- ▶ We say that \mathcal{F} is *algebraic* if $\exists p : X \dashrightarrow Y$ such that generically $\mathcal{F} = T_{X/Y}$.
- ▶ It follows that $\mathcal{F}|_{X_0}$ is a rank r vector bundle, $\text{codim}_X X \setminus X_0 \geq 2$.
- ▶ Given $x \in X_0$, $\exists \Omega_x \subset X_0$ and $\pi_x : \Omega_x \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^{n-r}$ submersion, $\mathcal{F}|_{\Omega_x} = \ker d\pi_x$ so the fibers of π_x correspond to the local leaves of \mathcal{F}
- ▶ Let $(\Omega_i)_{i \in I}$ be a locally finite covering of X_0 , define $\tilde{\Omega} := \cup_i \Omega_i \times \Omega_i \subset X \times X$.
- ▶ Let $\Lambda \subset \tilde{\Omega}$ consisting of $(z, w) \in \tilde{\Omega}$ such that $\pi(z) = \pi(w)$. It is locally closed, analytic, of dimension $n+r$ (in general, *doesn't extend* to $X \times X$!).
- ▶ Consider $V := \overline{\Lambda}^{\text{Zar}}$, so that $X_0 \subset \Lambda \subset V$.

Few notions, main construction

Foliations: $\mathcal{F} \subset T_X$ such that $[\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{F}] \subset \mathcal{F}$ and T_X/\mathcal{F} torsion-free.

- ▶ We say that \mathcal{F} is *algebraic* if $\exists p : X \rightarrow Y$ such that generically $\mathcal{F} = T_{X/Y}$.
- ▶ It follows that $\mathcal{F}|_{X_0}$ is a rank r vector bundle, $\text{codim}_X X \setminus X_0 \geq 2$.
- ▶ Given $x \in X_0$, $\exists \Omega_x \subset X_0$ and $\pi_x : \Omega_x \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^{n-r}$ submersion, $\mathcal{F}|_{\Omega_x} = \ker d\pi_x$ so the fibers of π_x correspond to the local leaves of \mathcal{F}
- ▶ Let $(\Omega_i)_{i \in I}$ be a locally finite covering of X_0 , define $\tilde{\Omega} := \cup_i \Omega_i \times \Omega_i \subset X \times X$.
- ▶ Let $\Lambda \subset \tilde{\Omega}$ consisting of $(z, w) \in \tilde{\Omega}$ such that $\pi(z) = \pi(w)$. It is locally closed, analytic, of dimension $n+r$ (in general, *doesn't extend* to $X \times X$!).
- ▶ Consider $V := \overline{\Lambda}^{\text{Zar}}$, so that $X_0 \subset \Lambda \subset V$.

Remark

The foliation \mathcal{F} is algebraic

Few notions, main construction

Foliations: $\mathcal{F} \subset T_X$ such that $[\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{F}] \subset \mathcal{F}$ and T_X/\mathcal{F} torsion-free.

- ▶ We say that \mathcal{F} is *algebraic* if $\exists p : X \rightarrow Y$ such that generically $\mathcal{F} = T_{X/Y}$.
- ▶ It follows that $\mathcal{F}|_{X_0}$ is a rank r vector bundle, $\text{codim}_X X \setminus X_0 \geq 2$.
- ▶ Given $x \in X_0$, $\exists \Omega_x \subset X_0$ and $\pi_x : \Omega_x \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^{n-r}$ submersion, $\mathcal{F}|_{\Omega_x} = \ker d\pi_x$ so the fibers of π_x correspond to the local leaves of \mathcal{F}
- ▶ Let $(\Omega_i)_{i \in I}$ be a locally finite covering of X_0 , define $\tilde{\Omega} := \cup_i \Omega_i \times \Omega_i \subset X \times X$.
- ▶ Let $\Lambda \subset \tilde{\Omega}$ consisting of $(z, w) \in \tilde{\Omega}$ such that $\pi(z) = \pi(w)$. It is locally closed, analytic, of dimension $n+r$ (in general, *doesn't extend* to $X \times X$!).
- ▶ Consider $V := \overline{\Lambda}^{\text{Zar}}$, so that $X_0 \subset \Lambda \subset V$.

Remark

The foliation \mathcal{F} is algebraic if and only if

$$\dim V = \dim \Lambda,$$

Few notions, main construction

Foliations: $\mathcal{F} \subset T_X$ such that $[\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{F}] \subset \mathcal{F}$ and T_X/\mathcal{F} torsion-free.

- ▶ We say that \mathcal{F} is *algebraic* if $\exists p : X \rightarrow Y$ such that generically $\mathcal{F} = T_{X/Y}$.
- ▶ It follows that $\mathcal{F}|_{X_0}$ is a rank r vector bundle, $\text{codim}_X X \setminus X_0 \geq 2$.
- ▶ Given $x \in X_0$, $\exists \Omega_x \subset X_0$ and $\pi_x : \Omega_x \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^{n-r}$ submersion, $\mathcal{F}|_{\Omega_x} = \ker d\pi_x$ so the fibers of π_x correspond to the local leaves of \mathcal{F}
- ▶ Let $(\Omega_i)_{i \in I}$ be a locally finite covering of X_0 , define $\tilde{\Omega} := \cup_i \Omega_i \times \Omega_i \subset X \times X$.
- ▶ Let $\Lambda \subset \tilde{\Omega}$ consisting of $(z, w) \in \tilde{\Omega}$ such that $\pi(z) = \pi(w)$. It is locally closed, analytic, of dimension $n+r$ (in general, *doesn't extend* to $X \times X$!).
- ▶ Consider $V := \overline{\Lambda}^{\text{Zar}}$, so that $X_0 \subset \Lambda \subset V$.

Remark

The foliation \mathcal{F} is algebraic if and only if

$$\dim V = \dim \Lambda,$$

so that Λ is the restriction to $\tilde{\Omega}$ of an analytic subset of $X \times X$.

Algebraicity, I

- In projective setting, have the following *win-win* result:

Algebraicity, I

- In projective setting, have the following *win-win* result:

Theorem [BMcQ01], [B01], [CP19]

X is projective, $\mathcal{F} \subset T_X$ is a holomorphic foliation.

Algebraicity, I

- In projective setting, have the following *win-win* result:

Theorem [BMcQ01], [B01], [CP19]

X is projective, $\mathcal{F} \subset T_X$ is a holomorphic foliation. If \mathcal{F} is not algebraic, then $\mathcal{O}(1)$ over $\mathbb{P}(E)$ is pseff, where E is any desingularization of \mathcal{F} .

Algebraicity, I

- In projective setting, have the following *win-win* result:

Theorem [BMcQ01], [B01], [CP19]

X is projective, $\mathcal{F} \subset T_X$ is a holomorphic foliation. If \mathcal{F} is not algebraic, then $\mathcal{O}(1)$ over $\mathbb{P}(E)$ is pseff, where E is any desingularization of \mathcal{F} . Thus, \mathcal{F}^* is pseff.

Algebraicity, I

- In projective setting, have the following *win-win* result:

Theorem [BMcQ01], [B01], [CP19]

X is projective, $\mathcal{F} \subset T_X$ is a holomorphic foliation. If \mathcal{F} is not algebraic, then $\mathcal{O}(1)$ over $\mathbb{P}(E)$ is pseff, where E is any desingularization of \mathcal{F} . Thus, \mathcal{F}^* is pseff.

- A few ideas of the proof (assuming $E = \mathcal{F}$):

Algebraicity, I

- In projective setting, have the following *win-win* result:

Theorem [BMcQ01], [B01], [CP19]

X is projective, $\mathcal{F} \subset T_X$ is a holomorphic foliation. If \mathcal{F} is not algebraic, then $\mathcal{O}(1)$ over $\mathbb{P}(E)$ is pseff, where E is any desingularization of \mathcal{F} . Thus, \mathcal{F}^* is pseff.

- A few ideas of the proof (assuming $E = \mathcal{F}$):
 - ▶ Take A ample; for each m, k consider

Algebraicity, I

- In projective setting, have the following *win-win* result:

Theorem [BMcQ01], [B01], [CP19]

X is projective, $\mathcal{F} \subset T_X$ is a holomorphic foliation. If \mathcal{F} is not algebraic, then $\mathcal{O}(1)$ over $\mathbb{P}(E)$ is pseff, where E is any desingularization of \mathcal{F} . Thus, \mathcal{F}^* is pseff.

- A few ideas of the proof (assuming $E = \mathcal{F}$):
 - ▶ Take A ample; for each m, k consider $h^0(X, \text{Sym}^m \mathcal{F}^* \otimes A^k)$.

Algebraicity, I

- In projective setting, have the following *win-win* result:

Theorem [BMcQ01], [B01], [CP19]

X is projective, $\mathcal{F} \subset T_X$ is a holomorphic foliation. If \mathcal{F} is not algebraic, then $\mathcal{O}(1)$ over $\mathbb{P}(E)$ is pseff, where E is any desingularization of \mathcal{F} . Thus, \mathcal{F}^* is pseff.

- A few ideas of the proof (assuming $E = \mathcal{F}$):
 - ▶ Take A ample; for each m, k consider $h^0(X, \text{Sym}^m \mathcal{F}^* \otimes A^k)$.
 - ▶ In case $\exists \varepsilon_0 > 0$ such that

Algebraicity, I

- In projective setting, have the following *win-win* result:

Theorem [BMcQ01], [B01], [CP19]

X is projective, $\mathcal{F} \subset T_X$ is a holomorphic foliation. If \mathcal{F} is not algebraic, then $\mathcal{O}(1)$ over $\mathbb{P}(E)$ is pseff, where E is any desingularization of \mathcal{F} . Thus, \mathcal{F}^* is pseff.

- A few ideas of the proof (assuming $E = \mathcal{F}$):
 - ▶ Take A ample; for each m, k consider $h^0(X, \text{Sym}^m \mathcal{F}^* \otimes A^k)$.
 - ▶ In case $\exists \varepsilon_0 > 0$ such that $h^0(X, \text{Sym}^m \mathcal{F}^* \otimes A^k) = 0$ if $\frac{m}{k} \geq \varepsilon_0$,

Algebraicity, I

- In projective setting, have the following *win-win* result:

Theorem [BMcQ01], [B01], [CP19]

X is projective, $\mathcal{F} \subset T_X$ is a holomorphic foliation. If \mathcal{F} is not algebraic, then $\mathcal{O}(1)$ over $\mathbb{P}(E)$ is pseff, where E is any desingularization of \mathcal{F} . Thus, \mathcal{F}^* is pseff.

- A few ideas of the proof (assuming $E = \mathcal{F}$):
 - ▶ Take A ample; for each m, k consider $h^0(X, \text{Sym}^m \mathcal{F}^* \otimes A^k)$.
 - ▶ In case $\exists \varepsilon_0 > 0$ such that $h^0(X, \text{Sym}^m \mathcal{F}^* \otimes A^k) = 0$ if $\frac{m}{k} \geq \varepsilon_0$, then $h^0(V, A_{|V}^k) \simeq Ck^{n+r}$ as $k \rightarrow \infty$. It follows that \mathcal{F} algebraic.

Algebraicity, I

- In projective setting, have the following *win-win* result:

Theorem [BMcQ01], [B01], [CP19]

X is projective, $\mathcal{F} \subset T_X$ is a holomorphic foliation. If \mathcal{F} is not algebraic, then $\mathcal{O}(1)$ over $\mathbb{P}(E)$ is pseff, where E is any desingularization of \mathcal{F} . Thus, \mathcal{F}^* is pseff.

- A few ideas of the proof (assuming $E = \mathcal{F}$):
 - ▶ Take A ample; for each m, k consider $h^0(X, \text{Sym}^m \mathcal{F}^* \otimes A^k)$.
 - ▶ In case $\exists \varepsilon_0 > 0$ such that $h^0(X, \text{Sym}^m \mathcal{F}^* \otimes A^k) = 0$ if $\frac{m}{k} \geq \varepsilon_0$, then $h^0(V, A_{|V}^k) \simeq Ck^{n+r}$ as $k \rightarrow \infty$. It follows that \mathcal{F} algebraic.
 - ▶ If not, one easily concludes that \mathcal{F}^* pseff.

Algebraicity, I

- In projective setting, have the following *win-win* result:

Theorem [BMcQ01], [B01], [CP19]

X is projective, $\mathcal{F} \subset T_X$ is a holomorphic foliation. If \mathcal{F} is not algebraic, then $\mathcal{O}(1)$ over $\mathbb{P}(E)$ is pseff, where E is any desingularization of \mathcal{F} . Thus, \mathcal{F}^* is pseff.

- A few ideas of the proof (assuming $E = \mathcal{F}$):
 - ▶ Take A ample; for each m, k consider $h^0(X, \text{Sym}^m \mathcal{F}^* \otimes A^k)$.
 - ▶ In case $\exists \varepsilon_0 > 0$ such that $h^0(X, \text{Sym}^m \mathcal{F}^* \otimes A^k) = 0$ if $\frac{m}{k} \geq \varepsilon_0$, then $h^0(V, A_{|V}^k) \simeq Ck^{n+r}$ as $k \rightarrow \infty$. It follows that \mathcal{F} algebraic.
 - ▶ If not, one easily concludes that \mathcal{F}^* pseff.
- Assume $\mu_{\gamma, \min}(\mathcal{F}) > 0$. Then $\exists \varepsilon_0 > 0$ as above: if $0 \neq s \in H^0(X, \text{Sym}^m \mathcal{F}^* \otimes A^k)$

$$0 \leq \mu_{\gamma, \max}(\text{Sym}^m \mathcal{F}^* \otimes A^k) = k\mu_{\gamma}(A) - m\mu_{\gamma, \min}(\mathcal{F}).$$

Algebraicity, I

- In projective setting, have the following *win-win* result:

Theorem [BMcQ01], [B01], [CP19]

X is projective, $\mathcal{F} \subset T_X$ is a holomorphic foliation. If \mathcal{F} is not algebraic, then $\mathcal{O}(1)$ over $\mathbb{P}(E)$ is pseff, where E is any desingularization of \mathcal{F} . Thus, \mathcal{F}^* is pseff.

- A few ideas of the proof (assuming $E = \mathcal{F}$):
 - ▶ Take A ample; for each m, k consider $h^0(X, \text{Sym}^m \mathcal{F}^* \otimes A^k)$.
 - ▶ In case $\exists \varepsilon_0 > 0$ such that $h^0(X, \text{Sym}^m \mathcal{F}^* \otimes A^k) = 0$ if $\frac{m}{k} \geq \varepsilon_0$, then $h^0(V, A|_V^k) \simeq Ck^{n+r}$ as $k \rightarrow \infty$. It follows that \mathcal{F} algebraic.
 - ▶ If not, one easily concludes that \mathcal{F}^* pseff.
- Assume $\mu_{\gamma, \min}(\mathcal{F}) > 0$. Then $\exists \varepsilon_0 > 0$ as above: if $0 \neq s \in H^0(X, \text{Sym}^m \mathcal{F}^* \otimes A^k)$

$$0 \leq \mu_{\gamma, \max}(\text{Sym}^m \mathcal{F}^* \otimes A^k) = k\mu_{\gamma}(A) - m\mu_{\gamma, \min}(\mathcal{F}).$$

In particular, \mathcal{F} is algebraic.

Algebraicity, II

- Same result holds in general:

Algebraicity, II

- Same result holds in general:

Theorem [O25], [CP25]

X is Kähler, $\mathcal{F} \subset T_X$ is a holomorphic foliation.

Algebraicity, II

- Same result holds in general:

Theorem [O25], [CP25]

X is Kähler, $\mathcal{F} \subset T_X$ is a holomorphic foliation. If \mathcal{F} is not algebraic, then $\mathcal{O}(1)$ over $\mathbb{P}(E)$ is pseff, where E is any desingularization of \mathcal{F} .

Algebraicity, II

- Same result holds in general:

Theorem [O25], [CP25]

X is Kähler, $\mathcal{F} \subset T_X$ is a holomorphic foliation. If \mathcal{F} is not algebraic, then $\mathcal{O}(1)$ over $\mathbb{P}(E)$ is pseff, where E is any desingularization of \mathcal{F} . Thus, \mathcal{F}^* is pseff.

Algebraicity, II

- Same result holds in general:

Theorem [O25], [CP25]

X is Kähler, $\mathcal{F} \subset T_X$ is a holomorphic foliation. If \mathcal{F} is not algebraic, then $\mathcal{O}(1)$ over $\mathbb{P}(E)$ is pseff, where E is any desingularization of \mathcal{F} . Thus, \mathcal{F}^* is pseff.

- [B01] implemented in the current context by [O25]:

Algebraicity, II

- Same result holds in general:

Theorem [O25], [CP25]

X is Kähler, $\mathcal{F} \subset T_X$ is a holomorphic foliation. If \mathcal{F} is not algebraic, then $\mathcal{O}(1)$ over $\mathbb{P}(E)$ is pseff, where E is any desingularization of \mathcal{F} . Thus, \mathcal{F}^* is pseff.

- [B01] implemented in the current context by [O25]: Assume that $\mathcal{F}_{\text{sing}} = \emptyset$.

Algebraicity, II

- Same result holds in general:

Theorem [O25], [CP25]

X is Kähler, $\mathcal{F} \subset T_X$ is a holomorphic foliation. If \mathcal{F} is not algebraic, then $\mathcal{O}(1)$ over $\mathbb{P}(E)$ is pseff, where E is any desingularization of \mathcal{F} . Thus, \mathcal{F}^* is pseff.

- [B01] implemented in the current context by [O25]: Assume that $\mathcal{F}_{\text{sing}} = \emptyset$. $X \subset \Lambda \subset V$ in $X \times X$ and assume $\dim V > n + r$.

Algebraicity, II

- Same result holds in general:

Theorem [O25], [CP25]

X is Kähler, $\mathcal{F} \subset T_X$ is a holomorphic foliation. If \mathcal{F} is not algebraic, then $\mathcal{O}(1)$ over $\mathbb{P}(E)$ is pseff, where E is any desingularization of \mathcal{F} . Thus, \mathcal{F}^* is pseff.

- [B01] implemented in the current context by [O25]: Assume that $\mathcal{F}_{\text{sing}} = \emptyset$. $X \subset \Lambda \subset V$ in $X \times X$ and assume $\dim V > n + r$. Fix a Kähler metric ω on $X \times X$.

Algebraicity, II

- Same result holds in general:

Theorem [O25], [CP25]

X is Kähler, $\mathcal{F} \subset T_X$ is a holomorphic foliation. If \mathcal{F} is not algebraic, then $\mathcal{O}(1)$ over $\mathbb{P}(E)$ is pseff, where E is any desingularization of \mathcal{F} . Thus, \mathcal{F}^* is pseff.

- [B01] implemented in the current context by [O25]: Assume that $\mathcal{F}_{\text{sing}} = \emptyset$. $X \subset \Lambda \subset V$ in $X \times X$ and assume $\dim V > n + r$. Fix a Kähler metric ω on $X \times X$.
 - ▶ It suffices to construct $(T_k)_{k \geq 1}$ closed positive with log poles, $T_k \in \{\omega\}$ such that $\nu(T_k|_{\Lambda}, X) =: \delta_k \rightarrow \infty$

Algebraicity, II

- Same result holds in general:

Theorem [O25], [CP25]

X is Kähler, $\mathcal{F} \subset T_X$ is a holomorphic foliation. If \mathcal{F} is not algebraic, then $\mathcal{O}(1)$ over $\mathbb{P}(E)$ is pseff, where E is any desingularization of \mathcal{F} . Thus, \mathcal{F}^* is pseff.

- [B01] implemented in the current context by [O25]: Assume that $\mathcal{F}_{\text{sing}} = \emptyset$. $X \subset \Lambda \subset V$ in $X \times X$ and assume $\dim V > n + r$. Fix a Kähler metric ω on $X \times X$.
 - ▶ It suffices to construct $(T_k)_{k \geq 1}$ closed positive with log poles, $T_k \in \{\omega\}$ such that $\nu(T_k|_{\Lambda}, X) =: \delta_k \rightarrow \infty$
 - ▶ Indeed, if $\pi : Y \rightarrow X \times X$ is the blow-up of the diagonal X , then the class

Algebraicity, II

- Same result holds in general:

Theorem [O25], [CP25]

X is Kähler, $\mathcal{F} \subset T_X$ is a holomorphic foliation. If \mathcal{F} is not algebraic, then $\mathcal{O}(1)$ over $\mathbb{P}(E)$ is pseff, where E is any desingularization of \mathcal{F} . Thus, \mathcal{F}^* is pseff.

- [B01] implemented in the current context by [O25]: Assume that $\mathcal{F}_{\text{sing}} = \emptyset$. $X \subset \Lambda \subset V$ in $X \times X$ and assume $\dim V > n + r$. Fix a Kähler metric ω on $X \times X$.
 - ▶ It suffices to construct $(T_k)_{k \geq 1}$ closed positive with log poles, $T_k \in \{\omega\}$ such that $\nu(T_k|_{\Lambda}, X) =: \delta_k \rightarrow \infty$
 - ▶ Indeed, if $\pi : Y \rightarrow X \times X$ is the blow-up of the diagonal X , then the class $\{\pi^*(T_k|_{\Lambda}) - \delta_k[D]\}_D$ is pseff.

Algebraicity, II

- Same result holds in general:

Theorem [O25], [CP25]

X is Kähler, $\mathcal{F} \subset T_X$ is a holomorphic foliation. If \mathcal{F} is not algebraic, then $\mathcal{O}(1)$ over $\mathbb{P}(E)$ is pseff, where E is any desingularization of \mathcal{F} . Thus, \mathcal{F}^* is pseff.

- [B01] implemented in the current context by [O25]: Assume that $\mathcal{F}_{\text{sing}} = \emptyset$. $X \subset \Lambda \subset V$ in $X \times X$ and assume $\dim V > n + r$. Fix a Kähler metric ω on $X \times X$.
 - ▶ It suffices to construct $(T_k)_{k \geq 1}$ closed positive with log poles, $T_k \in \{\omega\}$ such that $\nu(T_k|_{\Lambda}, X) =: \delta_k \rightarrow \infty$
 - ▶ Indeed, if $\pi : Y \rightarrow X \times X$ is the blow-up of the diagonal X , then the class $\{\pi^*(T_k|_{\Lambda}) - \delta_k[D]\}_D$ is pseff. Since $\mathcal{O}(1) \simeq \mathcal{O}(-D)|_D$, the result follows.

Algebraicity, II

- Same result holds in general:

Theorem [O25], [CP25]

X is Kähler, $\mathcal{F} \subset T_X$ is a holomorphic foliation. If \mathcal{F} is not algebraic, then $\mathcal{O}(1)$ over $\mathbb{P}(E)$ is pseff, where E is any desingularization of \mathcal{F} . Thus, \mathcal{F}^* is pseff.

- [B01] implemented in the current context by [O25]: Assume that $\mathcal{F}_{\text{sing}} = \emptyset$. $X \subset \Lambda \subset V$ in $X \times X$ and assume $\dim V > n + r$. Fix a Kähler metric ω on $X \times X$.
 - ▶ It suffices to construct $(T_k)_{k \geq 1}$ closed positive with log poles, $T_k \in \{\omega\}$ such that $\nu(T_k|_{\Lambda}, X) =: \delta_k \rightarrow \infty$
 - ▶ Indeed, if $\pi : Y \rightarrow X \times X$ is the blow-up of the diagonal X , then the class $\{\pi^*(T_k|_{\Lambda}) - \delta_k[D]\}_D$ is pseff. Since $\mathcal{O}(1) \simeq \mathcal{O}(-D)|_D$, the result follows.
 - ▶ The existence of $(T_k)_{k \geq 1}$ is established by the *concentration of mass* method, using a family of Monge-Ampère equations, whose RHS converges to a Dirac distribution.

Algebraicity, II

- Same result holds in general:

Theorem [O25], [CP25]

X is Kähler, $\mathcal{F} \subset T_X$ is a holomorphic foliation. If \mathcal{F} is not algebraic, then $\mathcal{O}(1)$ over $\mathbb{P}(E)$ is pseff, where E is any desingularization of \mathcal{F} . Thus, \mathcal{F}^* is pseff.

- [B01] implemented in the current context by [O25]: Assume that $\mathcal{F}_{\text{sing}} = \emptyset$. $X \subset \Lambda \subset V$ in $X \times X$ and assume $\dim V > n + r$. Fix a Kähler metric ω on $X \times X$.
 - ▶ It suffices to construct $(T_k)_{k \geq 1}$ closed positive with log poles, $T_k \in \{\omega\}$ such that $\nu(T_k|_{\Lambda}, X) =: \delta_k \rightarrow \infty$
 - ▶ Indeed, if $\pi : Y \rightarrow X \times X$ is the blow-up of the diagonal X , then the class $\{\pi^*(T_k|_{\Lambda}) - \delta_k[D]\}|_D$ is pseff. Since $\mathcal{O}(1) \simeq \mathcal{O}(-D)|_D$, the result follows.
 - ▶ The existence of $(T_k)_{k \geq 1}$ is established by the *concentration of mass* method, using a family of Monge-Ampère equations, whose RHS converges to a Dirac distribution. It is due to Demailly (in connection to the Fujita conjecture) and it represents the usual substitute for the absence of an ample line bundle.

Algebraicity, II

- Same result holds in general:

Theorem [O25], [CP25]

X is Kähler, $\mathcal{F} \subset T_X$ is a holomorphic foliation. If \mathcal{F} is not algebraic, then $\mathcal{O}(1)$ over $\mathbb{P}(E)$ is pseff, where E is any desingularization of \mathcal{F} . Thus, \mathcal{F}^* is pseff.

- [B01] implemented in the current context by [O25]: Assume that $\mathcal{F}_{\text{sing}} = \emptyset$. $X \subset \Lambda \subset V$ in $X \times X$ and assume $\dim V > n + r$. Fix a Kähler metric ω on $X \times X$.
 - ▶ It suffices to construct $(T_k)_{k \geq 1}$ closed positive with log poles, $T_k \in \{\omega\}$ such that $\nu(T_k|_{\Lambda}, X) =: \delta_k \rightarrow \infty$
 - ▶ Indeed, if $\pi : Y \rightarrow X \times X$ is the blow-up of the diagonal X , then the class $\{\pi^*(T_k|_{\Lambda}) - \delta_k[D]\}_D$ is pseff. Since $\mathcal{O}(1) \simeq \mathcal{O}(-D)|_D$, the result follows.
 - ▶ The existence of $(T_k)_{k \geq 1}$ is established by the *concentration of mass* method, using a family of Monge-Ampère equations, whose RHS converges to a Dirac distribution. It is due to Demailly (in connection to the Fujita conjecture) and it represents the usual substitute for the absence of an ample line bundle.
 - ▶ Other applications of this method:

Algebraicity, II

- Same result holds in general:

Theorem [O25], [CP25]

X is Kähler, $\mathcal{F} \subset T_X$ is a holomorphic foliation. If \mathcal{F} is not algebraic, then $\mathcal{O}(1)$ over $\mathbb{P}(E)$ is pseff, where E is any desingularization of \mathcal{F} . Thus, \mathcal{F}^* is pseff.

- [B01] implemented in the current context by [O25]: Assume that $\mathcal{F}_{\text{sing}} = \emptyset$. $X \subset \Lambda \subset V$ in $X \times X$ and assume $\dim V > n + r$. Fix a Kähler metric ω on $X \times X$.
 - ▶ It suffices to construct $(T_k)_{k \geq 1}$ closed positive with log poles, $T_k \in \{\omega\}$ such that $\nu(T_k|_{\Lambda}, X) =: \delta_k \rightarrow \infty$
 - ▶ Indeed, if $\pi : Y \rightarrow X \times X$ is the blow-up of the diagonal X , then the class $\{\pi^*(T_k|_{\Lambda}) - \delta_k[D]\}_D$ is pseff. Since $\mathcal{O}(1) \simeq \mathcal{O}(-D)|_D$, the result follows.
 - ▶ The existence of $(T_k)_{k \geq 1}$ is established by the *concentration of mass* method, using a family of Monge-Ampère equations, whose RHS converges to a Dirac distribution. It is due to Demailly (in connection to the Fujita conjecture) and it represents the usual substitute for the absence of an ample line bundle.
 - ▶ Other applications of this method: numerical characterisation of the Kähler cone, positivity of direct images...

Foliations with positive minimal slope on Kähler manifolds

- \mathcal{F} is a foliation on a compact Kähler manifold, $\mu_{\gamma, \min}(\mathcal{F}) > 0$.

Foliations with positive minimal slope on Kähler manifolds

- \mathcal{F} is a foliation on a compact Kähler manifold, $\mu_{\gamma, \min}(\mathcal{F}) > 0$. Recall that we want to show $\mathcal{F} = \ker dp$, fibers of p are rationally connected.

Foliations with positive minimal slope on Kähler manifolds

- \mathcal{F} is a foliation on a compact Kähler manifold, $\mu_{\gamma, \min}(\mathcal{F}) > 0$. Recall that we want to show $\mathcal{F} = \ker dp$, fibers of p are rationally connected. The main steps are:

Foliations with positive minimal slope on Kähler manifolds

- \mathcal{F} is a foliation on a compact Kähler manifold, $\mu_{\gamma, \min}(\mathcal{F}) > 0$. Recall that we want to show $\mathcal{F} = \ker dp$, fibers of p are rationally connected. The main steps are:
 - ▶ If \mathcal{F}^* is pseff, then

Foliations with positive minimal slope on Kähler manifolds

- \mathcal{F} is a foliation on a compact Kähler manifold, $\mu_{\gamma, \min}(\mathcal{F}) > 0$. Recall that we want to show $\mathcal{F} = \ker dp$, fibers of p are rationally connected. The main steps are:
 - ▶ If \mathcal{F}^* is pseff, then $\mu_{\gamma, \max}(\mathcal{F}^*) \geq 0$, contradicting the hypothesis.

Foliations with positive minimal slope on Kähler manifolds

- \mathcal{F} is a foliation on a compact Kähler manifold, $\mu_{\gamma, \min}(\mathcal{F}) > 0$. Recall that we want to show $\mathcal{F} = \ker dp$, fibers of p are rationally connected. The main steps are:
 - ▶ If \mathcal{F}^* is pseff, then $\mu_{\gamma, \max}(\mathcal{F}^*) \geq 0$, contradicting the hypothesis.
 - ▶ Hence \mathcal{F}^* is not pseff, so the foliation \mathcal{F} is algebraic.

Foliations with positive minimal slope on Kähler manifolds

- \mathcal{F} is a foliation on a compact Kähler manifold, $\mu_{\gamma, \min}(\mathcal{F}) > 0$. Recall that we want to show $\mathcal{F} = \ker dp$, fibers of p are rationally connected. The main steps are:
 - ▶ If \mathcal{F}^* is pseff, then $\mu_{\gamma, \max}(\mathcal{F}^*) \geq 0$, contradicting the hypothesis.
 - ▶ Hence \mathcal{F}^* is not pseff, so the foliation \mathcal{F} is algebraic. By standard reductions $\exists p: X \rightarrow Y$ such that

Foliations with positive minimal slope on Kähler manifolds

- \mathcal{F} is a foliation on a compact Kähler manifold, $\mu_{\gamma, \min}(\mathcal{F}) > 0$. Recall that we want to show $\mathcal{F} = \ker dp$, fibers of p are rationally connected. The main steps are:
 - ▶ If \mathcal{F}^* is pseff, then $\mu_{\gamma, \max}(\mathcal{F}^*) \geq 0$, contradicting the hypothesis.
 - ▶ Hence \mathcal{F}^* is not pseff, so the foliation \mathcal{F} is algebraic. By standard reductions $\exists p : X \rightarrow Y$ such that $\mathcal{F} = \ker dp$ and

Foliations with positive minimal slope on Kähler manifolds

- \mathcal{F} is a foliation on a compact Kähler manifold, $\mu_{\gamma, \min}(\mathcal{F}) > 0$. Recall that we want to show $\mathcal{F} = \ker dp$, fibers of p are rationally connected. The main steps are:
 - ▶ If \mathcal{F}^* is pseff, then $\mu_{\gamma, \max}(\mathcal{F}^*) \geq 0$, contradicting the hypothesis.
 - ▶ Hence \mathcal{F}^* is not pseff, so the foliation \mathcal{F} is algebraic. By standard reductions $\exists p : X \rightarrow Y$ such that $\mathcal{F} = \ker dp$ and $K_{X/Y} - D(p) = K_{\mathcal{F}}$.

Foliations with positive minimal slope on Kähler manifolds

- \mathcal{F} is a foliation on a compact Kähler manifold, $\mu_{\gamma, \min}(\mathcal{F}) > 0$. Recall that we want to show $\mathcal{F} = \ker dp$, fibers of p are rationally connected. The main steps are:
 - ▶ If \mathcal{F}^* is pseff, then $\mu_{\gamma, \max}(\mathcal{F}^*) \geq 0$, contradicting the hypothesis.
 - ▶ Hence \mathcal{F}^* is not pseff, so the foliation \mathcal{F} is algebraic. By standard reductions $\exists p: X \rightarrow Y$ such that $\mathcal{F} = \ker dp$ and $K_{X/Y} - D(p) = K_{\mathcal{F}}$.
 - ▶ Since X is not projective, $\exists \sigma$ holomorphic $(2, 0)$ -form.

Foliations with positive minimal slope on Kähler manifolds

- \mathcal{F} is a foliation on a compact Kähler manifold, $\mu_{\gamma, \min}(\mathcal{F}) > 0$. Recall that we want to show $\mathcal{F} = \ker dp$, fibers of p are rationally connected. The main steps are:
 - ▶ If \mathcal{F}^* is pseff, then $\mu_{\gamma, \max}(\mathcal{F}^*) \geq 0$, contradicting the hypothesis.
 - ▶ Hence \mathcal{F}^* is not pseff, so the foliation \mathcal{F} is algebraic. By standard reductions $\exists p: X \rightarrow Y$ such that $\mathcal{F} = \ker dp$ and $K_{X/Y} - D(p) = K_{\mathcal{F}}$.
 - ▶ Since X is not projective, $\exists \sigma$ holomorphic $(2, 0)$ -form. Hodge theory implies that we can assume $\omega + \sigma + \bar{\sigma}$ is *rational*, for some $\omega > 0$.

Foliations with positive minimal slope on Kähler manifolds

- \mathcal{F} is a foliation on a compact Kähler manifold, $\mu_{\gamma, \min}(\mathcal{F}) > 0$. Recall that we want to show $\mathcal{F} = \ker dp$, fibers of p are rationally connected. The main steps are:
 - ▶ If \mathcal{F}^* is pseff, then $\mu_{\gamma, \max}(\mathcal{F}^*) \geq 0$, contradicting the hypothesis.
 - ▶ Hence \mathcal{F}^* is not pseff, so the foliation \mathcal{F} is algebraic. By standard reductions $\exists p: X \rightarrow Y$ such that $\mathcal{F} = \ker dp$ and $K_{X/Y} - D(p) = K_{\mathcal{F}}$.
 - ▶ Since X is not projective, $\exists \sigma$ holomorphic $(2, 0)$ -form. Hodge theory implies that we can assume $\omega + \sigma + \bar{\sigma}$ is *rational*, for some $\omega > 0$.
 - ▶ *Claim:* $\sigma|_{X_y} = 0$.

Foliations with positive minimal slope on Kähler manifolds

- \mathcal{F} is a foliation on a compact Kähler manifold, $\mu_{\gamma, \min}(\mathcal{F}) > 0$. Recall that we want to show $\mathcal{F} = \ker dp$, fibers of p are rationally connected. The main steps are:
 - ▶ If \mathcal{F}^* is pseff, then $\mu_{\gamma, \max}(\mathcal{F}^*) \geq 0$, contradicting the hypothesis.
 - ▶ Hence \mathcal{F}^* is not pseff, so the foliation \mathcal{F} is algebraic. By standard reductions $\exists p: X \rightarrow Y$ such that $\mathcal{F} = \ker dp$ and $K_{X/Y} - D(p) = K_{\mathcal{F}}$.
 - ▶ Since X is not projective, $\exists \sigma$ holomorphic $(2, 0)$ -form. Hodge theory implies that we can assume $\omega + \sigma + \bar{\sigma}$ is *rational*, for some $\omega > 0$.
 - ▶ *Claim:* $\sigma|_{X_y} = 0$. If not, it induces a non-trivial map $\Lambda^2 \mathcal{F} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_X$, implying $\mu_{\gamma}(\mathcal{O}_X) > 0$, which is (very) wrong.

Foliations with positive minimal slope on Kähler manifolds

- \mathcal{F} is a foliation on a compact Kähler manifold, $\mu_{\gamma, \min}(\mathcal{F}) > 0$. Recall that we want to show $\mathcal{F} = \ker dp$, fibers of p are rationally connected. The main steps are:
 - ▶ If \mathcal{F}^* is pseff, then $\mu_{\gamma, \max}(\mathcal{F}^*) \geq 0$, contradicting the hypothesis.
 - ▶ Hence \mathcal{F}^* is not pseff, so the foliation \mathcal{F} is algebraic. By standard reductions $\exists p: X \rightarrow Y$ such that $\mathcal{F} = \ker dp$ and $K_{X/Y} - D(p) = K_{\mathcal{F}}$.
 - ▶ Since X is not projective, $\exists \sigma$ holomorphic $(2, 0)$ -form. Hodge theory implies that we can assume $\omega + \sigma + \bar{\sigma}$ is *rational*, for some $\omega > 0$.
 - ▶ *Claim:* $\sigma|_{X_y} = 0$. If not, it induces a non-trivial map $\Lambda^2 \mathcal{F} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_X$, implying $\mu_{\gamma}(\mathcal{O}_X) > 0$, which is (very) wrong.
 - ▶ It follows that $\omega + \sigma + \bar{\sigma}|_{X_y}$ is rational and positive, hence X_y projective.

Foliations with positive minimal slope on Kähler manifolds

- \mathcal{F} is a foliation on a compact Kähler manifold, $\mu_{\gamma, \min}(\mathcal{F}) > 0$. Recall that we want to show $\mathcal{F} = \ker dp$, fibers of p are rationally connected. The main steps are:
 - ▶ If \mathcal{F}^* is pseff, then $\mu_{\gamma, \max}(\mathcal{F}^*) \geq 0$, contradicting the hypothesis.
 - ▶ Hence \mathcal{F}^* is not pseff, so the foliation \mathcal{F} is algebraic. By standard reductions $\exists p: X \rightarrow Y$ such that $\mathcal{F} = \ker dp$ and $K_{X/Y} - D(p) = K_{\mathcal{F}}$.
 - ▶ Since X is not projective, $\exists \sigma$ holomorphic $(2, 0)$ -form. Hodge theory implies that we can assume $\omega + \sigma + \bar{\sigma}$ is *rational*, for some $\omega > 0$.
 - ▶ *Claim:* $\sigma|_{X_y} = 0$. If not, it induces a non-trivial map $\Lambda^2 \mathcal{F} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_X$, implying $\mu_{\gamma}(\mathcal{O}_X) > 0$, which is (very) wrong.
 - ▶ It follows that $\omega + \sigma + \bar{\sigma}|_{X_y}$ is rational and positive, hence X_y projective.
 - ▶ *Claim:* K_{X_y} is not pseff

Foliations with positive minimal slope on Kähler manifolds

- \mathcal{F} is a foliation on a compact Kähler manifold, $\mu_{\gamma, \min}(\mathcal{F}) > 0$. Recall that we want to show $\mathcal{F} = \ker dp$, fibers of p are rationally connected. The main steps are:
 - ▶ If \mathcal{F}^* is pseff, then $\mu_{\gamma, \max}(\mathcal{F}^*) \geq 0$, contradicting the hypothesis.
 - ▶ Hence \mathcal{F}^* is not pseff, so the foliation \mathcal{F} is algebraic. By standard reductions $\exists p: X \rightarrow Y$ such that $\mathcal{F} = \ker dp$ and $K_{X/Y} - D(p) = K_{\mathcal{F}}$.
 - ▶ Since X is not projective, $\exists \sigma$ holomorphic $(2, 0)$ -form. Hodge theory implies that we can assume $\omega + \sigma + \bar{\sigma}$ is *rational*, for some $\omega > 0$.
 - ▶ *Claim:* $\sigma|_{X_y} = 0$. If not, it induces a non-trivial map $\Lambda^2 \mathcal{F} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_X$, implying $\mu_{\gamma}(\mathcal{O}_X) > 0$, which is (very) wrong.
 - ▶ It follows that $\omega + \sigma + \bar{\sigma}|_{X_y}$ is rational and positive, hence X_y projective.
 - ▶ *Claim:* K_{X_y} is not pseff. If not, it follows that $K_{X/Y} - D(p)$ pseff, and so $\mu_{\gamma}(\mathcal{F}^*) \geq 0$, again a contradiction.

Foliations with positive minimal slope on Kähler manifolds

- \mathcal{F} is a foliation on a compact Kähler manifold, $\mu_{\gamma, \min}(\mathcal{F}) > 0$. Recall that we want to show $\mathcal{F} = \ker dp$, fibers of p are rationally connected. The main steps are:
 - ▶ If \mathcal{F}^* is pseff, then $\mu_{\gamma, \max}(\mathcal{F}^*) \geq 0$, contradicting the hypothesis.
 - ▶ Hence \mathcal{F}^* is not pseff, so the foliation \mathcal{F} is algebraic. By standard reductions $\exists p: X \rightarrow Y$ such that $\mathcal{F} = \ker dp$ and $K_{X/Y} - D(p) = K_{\mathcal{F}}$.
 - ▶ Since X is not projective, $\exists \sigma$ holomorphic $(2, 0)$ -form. Hodge theory implies that we can assume $\omega + \sigma + \bar{\sigma}$ is *rational*, for some $\omega > 0$.
 - ▶ *Claim:* $\sigma|_{X_y} = 0$. If not, it induces a non-trivial map $\Lambda^2 \mathcal{F} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_X$, implying $\mu_{\gamma}(\mathcal{O}_X) > 0$, which is (very) wrong.
 - ▶ It follows that $\omega + \sigma + \bar{\sigma}|_{X_y}$ is rational and positive, hence X_y projective.
 - ▶ *Claim:* K_{X_y} is not pseff. If not, it follows that $K_{X/Y} - D(p)$ pseff, and so $\mu_{\gamma}(\mathcal{F}^*) \geq 0$, again a contradiction.
 - ▶ The fibers X_y are projective, canonical not pseff, so they are uniruled.

Foliations with positive minimal slope on Kähler manifolds

- \mathcal{F} is a foliation on a compact Kähler manifold, $\mu_{\gamma, \min}(\mathcal{F}) > 0$. Recall that we want to show $\mathcal{F} = \ker dp$, fibers of p are rationally connected. The main steps are:
 - ▶ If \mathcal{F}^* is pseff, then $\mu_{\gamma, \max}(\mathcal{F}^*) \geq 0$, contradicting the hypothesis.
 - ▶ Hence \mathcal{F}^* is not pseff, so the foliation \mathcal{F} is algebraic. By standard reductions $\exists p: X \rightarrow Y$ such that $\mathcal{F} = \ker dp$ and $K_{X/Y} - D(p) = K_{\mathcal{F}}$.
 - ▶ Since X is not projective, $\exists \sigma$ holomorphic $(2, 0)$ -form. Hodge theory implies that we can assume $\omega + \sigma + \bar{\sigma}$ is *rational*, for some $\omega > 0$.
 - ▶ *Claim:* $\sigma|_{X_y} = 0$. If not, it induces a non-trivial map $\Lambda^2 \mathcal{F} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_X$, implying $\mu_{\gamma}(\mathcal{O}_X) > 0$, which is (very) wrong.
 - ▶ It follows that $\omega + \sigma + \bar{\sigma}|_{X_y}$ is rational and positive, hence X_y projective.
 - ▶ *Claim:* K_{X_y} is not pseff. If not, it follows that $K_{X/Y} - D(p)$ pseff, and so $\mu_{\gamma}(\mathcal{F}^*) \geq 0$, again a contradiction.
 - ▶ The fibers X_y are projective, canonical not pseff, so they are uniruled.
 - ▶ By considering the relative MRC fibration for p , one shows that X_y are rationally connected.

Quotients of holomorphic tensors

- Recall that K_X pseff, and $\otimes^m T_X^* \rightarrow Q \rightarrow 0$. Have to show $\det Q$ pseff as well.

Quotients of holomorphic tensors

- Recall that K_X pseff, and $\otimes^m T_X^* \rightarrow Q \rightarrow 0$. Have to show $\det Q$ pseff as well.
 - ▶ If this does not hold, then $\mu_\gamma(Q) < 0$ for some $\gamma = g^{n-1}$ (by Lamari criteria).

Quotients of holomorphic tensors

- Recall that K_X pseff, and $\otimes^m T_X^* \rightarrow Q \rightarrow 0$. Have to show $\det Q$ pseff as well.
 - ▶ If this does not hold, then $\mu_\gamma(Q) < 0$ for some $\gamma = g^{n-1}$ (by Lamari criteria).
 - ▶ This translates as $\mu_{\gamma, \max}(T_X) > 0$.

Quotients of holomorphic tensors

- Recall that K_X pseff, and $\otimes^m T_X^* \rightarrow Q \rightarrow 0$. Have to show $\det Q$ pseff as well.
 - ▶ If this does not hold, then $\mu_\gamma(Q) < 0$ for some $\gamma = g^{n-1}$ (by Lamari criteria).
 - ▶ This translates as $\mu_{\gamma, \max}(T_X) > 0$.
 - ▶ Stability theory implies $\exists \mathcal{F} \subset T_X$, maximum destabilising subsheaf.

Quotients of holomorphic tensors

- Recall that K_X pseff, and $\otimes^m T_X^* \rightarrow Q \rightarrow 0$. Have to show $\det Q$ pseff as well.
 - ▶ If this does not hold, then $\mu_\gamma(Q) < 0$ for some $\gamma = g^{n-1}$ (by Lamari criteria).
 - ▶ This translates as $\mu_{\gamma, \max}(T_X) > 0$.
 - ▶ Stability theory implies $\exists \mathcal{F} \subset T_X$, maximum destabilising subsheaf.
 - ▶ \mathcal{F} is γ -stable, in particular $\mu_{\gamma, \min}(\mathcal{F}) > 0$.

Quotients of holomorphic tensors

- Recall that K_X pseff, and $\otimes^m T_X^* \rightarrow Q \rightarrow 0$. Have to show $\det Q$ pseff as well.
 - ▶ If this does not hold, then $\mu_\gamma(Q) < 0$ for some $\gamma = g^{n-1}$ (by Lamari criteria).
 - ▶ This translates as $\mu_{\gamma, \max}(T_X) > 0$.
 - ▶ Stability theory implies $\exists \mathcal{F} \subset T_X$, maximum destabilising subsheaf.
 - ▶ \mathcal{F} is γ -stable, in particular $\mu_{\gamma, \min}(\mathcal{F}) > 0$.
 - ▶ An argument due to Miyaoka: the linear map $\Lambda^2 \mathcal{F} \rightarrow T_X/\mathcal{F}$ must vanish (this is rather easy, obtained by evaluating degrees).

Quotients of holomorphic tensors

- Recall that K_X pseff, and $\otimes^m T_X^* \rightarrow Q \rightarrow 0$. Have to show $\det Q$ pseff as well.
 - ▶ If this does not hold, then $\mu_\gamma(Q) < 0$ for some $\gamma = g^{n-1}$ (by Lamari criteria).
 - ▶ This translates as $\mu_{\gamma, \max}(T_X) > 0$.
 - ▶ Stability theory implies $\exists \mathcal{F} \subset T_X$, maximum destabilising subsheaf.
 - ▶ \mathcal{F} is γ -stable, in particular $\mu_{\gamma, \min}(\mathcal{F}) > 0$.
 - ▶ An argument due to Miyaoka: the linear map $\Lambda^2 \mathcal{F} \rightarrow T_X/\mathcal{F}$ must vanish (this is rather easy, obtained by evaluating degrees).
 - ▶ In conclusion, \mathcal{F} is a foliation with positive minimal slope.

Quotients of holomorphic tensors

- Recall that K_X pseff, and $\otimes^m T_X^* \rightarrow Q \rightarrow 0$. Have to show $\det Q$ pseff as well.
 - ▶ If this does not hold, then $\mu_\gamma(Q) < 0$ for some $\gamma = g^{n-1}$ (by Lamari criteria).
 - ▶ This translates as $\mu_{\gamma, \max}(T_X) > 0$.
 - ▶ Stability theory implies $\exists \mathcal{F} \subset T_X$, maximum destabilising subsheaf.
 - ▶ \mathcal{F} is γ -stable, in particular $\mu_{\gamma, \min}(\mathcal{F}) > 0$.
 - ▶ An argument due to Miyaoka: the linear map $\Lambda^2 \mathcal{F} \rightarrow T_X/\mathcal{F}$ must vanish (this is rather easy, obtained by evaluating degrees).
 - ▶ In conclusion, \mathcal{F} is a foliation with positive minimal slope. It is therefore has compact, rationally connected leaves.

Quotients of holomorphic tensors

- Recall that K_X pseff, and $\otimes^m T_X^* \rightarrow Q \rightarrow 0$. Have to show $\det Q$ pseff as well.
 - ▶ If this does not hold, then $\mu_\gamma(Q) < 0$ for some $\gamma = g^{n-1}$ (by Lamari criteria).
 - ▶ This translates as $\mu_{\gamma, \max}(T_X) > 0$.
 - ▶ Stability theory implies $\exists \mathcal{F} \subset T_X$, maximum destabilising subsheaf.
 - ▶ \mathcal{F} is γ -stable, in particular $\mu_{\gamma, \min}(\mathcal{F}) > 0$.
 - ▶ An argument due to Miyaoka: the linear map $\Lambda^2 \mathcal{F} \rightarrow T_X/\mathcal{F}$ must vanish (this is rather easy, obtained by evaluating degrees).
 - ▶ In conclusion, \mathcal{F} is a foliation with positive minimal slope. It is therefore has compact, rationally connected leaves.
 - ▶ This cannot happen, since K_X is pseff.

Quotients of holomorphic tensors

- Recall that K_X pseff, and $\otimes^m T_X^* \rightarrow Q \rightarrow 0$. Have to show $\det Q$ pseff as well.
 - ▶ If this does not hold, then $\mu_\gamma(Q) < 0$ for some $\gamma = g^{n-1}$ (by Lamari criteria).
 - ▶ This translates as $\mu_{\gamma, \max}(T_X) > 0$.
 - ▶ Stability theory implies $\exists \mathcal{F} \subset T_X$, maximum destabilising subsheaf.
 - ▶ \mathcal{F} is γ -stable, in particular $\mu_{\gamma, \min}(\mathcal{F}) > 0$.
 - ▶ An argument due to Miyaoka: the linear map $\Lambda^2 \mathcal{F} \rightarrow T_X/\mathcal{F}$ must vanish (this is rather easy, obtained by evaluating degrees).
 - ▶ In conclusion, \mathcal{F} is a foliation with positive minimal slope. It is therefore has compact, rationally connected leaves.
 - ▶ This cannot happen, since K_X is pseff.

Many thanks for your attention!