

# Special cycles and cones of divisors on orthogonal modular varieties

Laure Flapan

Department of Mathematics  
Michigan State University

January 18, 2025

# Overview

---

1. Special cycles on orthogonal modular varieties
2. Uniruledness
3. Special cycles under “tautological maps”
4. Cones of divisors

# Orthogonal modular varieties

---

- $\Lambda =$  even lattice of signature  $(2, n)$

# Orthogonal modular varieties

---

- $\Lambda$  = even lattice of signature  $(2, n)$
- $\mathcal{D}_\Lambda$  = one of two connected components of

$$\{[Z] \in \mathbb{P}(V_{\mathbb{C}}) \mid \langle Z, Z \rangle = 0, \langle Z, \bar{Z} \rangle > 0\}.$$

This is a Hermitian symmetric domain of Type IV.

# Orthogonal modular varieties

---

- $\Lambda$  = even lattice of signature  $(2, n)$
- $\mathcal{D}_\Lambda$  = one of two connected components of

$$\{[Z] \in \mathbb{P}(V_{\mathbb{C}}) \mid \langle Z, Z \rangle = 0, \langle Z, \bar{Z} \rangle > 0\}.$$

This is a Hermitian symmetric domain of Type IV.

- $O^+(\Lambda)$  = automorphisms of  $\Lambda$  that fix  $\mathcal{D}_\Lambda$ .

# Orthogonal modular varieties

---

- $\Lambda$  = even lattice of signature  $(2, n)$
- $\mathcal{D}_\Lambda$  = one of two connected components of

$$\{[Z] \in \mathbb{P}(V_{\mathbb{C}}) \mid \langle Z, Z \rangle = 0, \langle Z, \bar{Z} \rangle > 0\}.$$

This is a Hermitian symmetric domain of Type IV.

- $O^+(\Lambda)$  = automorphisms of  $\Lambda$  that fix  $\mathcal{D}_\Lambda$ .
- $\tilde{O}^+(\Lambda) \subset O^+(\Lambda)$  subgroup of automorphisms with trivial action on  $D(\Lambda) = \Lambda^\vee / \Lambda$ .

# Orthogonal modular varieties

---

- $\Lambda$  = even lattice of signature  $(2, n)$
- $\mathcal{D}_\Lambda$  = one of two connected components of

$$\{[Z] \in \mathbb{P}(V_{\mathbb{C}}) \mid \langle Z, Z \rangle = 0, \langle Z, \bar{Z} \rangle > 0\}.$$

This is a Hermitian symmetric domain of Type IV.

- $O^+(\Lambda)$  = automorphisms of  $\Lambda$  that fix  $\mathcal{D}_\Lambda$ .
- $\tilde{O}^+(\Lambda) \subset O^+(\Lambda)$  subgroup of automorphisms with trivial action on  $D(\Lambda) = \Lambda^\vee / \Lambda$ .

## Orthogonal modular variety

$$\mathcal{F}_\Lambda = \mathcal{D}_\Lambda / \tilde{O}^+(\Lambda)$$

# Orthogonal modular varieties: examples

---

- $\Lambda =$  even lattice of signature  $(2, n)$

## Orthogonal modular variety

$$\mathcal{F}_\Lambda = \mathcal{D}_\Lambda / \tilde{O}^+(\Lambda)$$

## K3 surfaces

$$\Lambda = U^{\oplus 2} \oplus E_8(-1)^{\oplus 2} \oplus \mathbb{Z}\ell, \text{ with } \langle \ell, \ell \rangle = -2d$$

$\mathcal{F}_\Lambda =$  moduli space of quasi-polarized K3 surfaces  $(S, H)$  of degree  $2d$



# Orthogonal modular varieties: examples

- $\Lambda =$  even lattice of signature  $(2, n)$

## Orthogonal modular variety

$$\mathcal{F}_\Lambda = \mathcal{D}_\Lambda / \tilde{O}^+(\Lambda)$$

## K3 surfaces

$$\Lambda = U^{\oplus 2} \oplus E_8(-1)^{\oplus 2} \oplus \mathbb{Z}\ell, \text{ with } \langle \ell, \ell \rangle = -2d$$

$\mathcal{F}_\Lambda =$  moduli space of quasi-polarized K3 surfaces  $(S, H)$  of degree  $2d$

## Hyperkähler manifolds

For various choices of  $\Lambda$

$\mathcal{F}_\Lambda =$  (finite cover of) partial compactification of moduli of HK manifolds

# Noether–Lefschetz divisors/Heegner divisors on $\mathcal{F}_\Lambda$

In K3 setting: Noether–Lefschetz divisors

$$D_{h,a} = \{(S, H) \mid \exists \beta \in \text{Pic}_{\mathbb{Q}}(\mathcal{F}_\Lambda) \text{ s.t. } \beta^2 = 2h - 2, \beta.H = a\}.$$

## Examples

- $D_{0,0}$  = nodal locus  
(there is a  $(-2)$ -curve  $\beta$  s.t.  $\beta.H = 0$ )
- $D_{1,1}$  = unigonal locus  
(there is a curve  $\beta$  with  $\beta^2 = 0$  and  $\beta.H = 1$ )

# Noether–Lefschetz divisors/Heegner divisors on $\mathcal{F}_\Lambda$

---

In K3 setting: Noether–Lefschetz divisors

$$D_{h,a} = \{(S, H) \mid \exists \beta \in \text{Pic}(S) \text{ s.t. } \beta^2 = 2h - 2, \beta.H = a\}.$$

In general setting: Heegner divisors

# Noether–Lefschetz divisors/Heegner divisors on $\mathcal{F}_\Lambda$

---

In K3 setting: Noether–Lefschetz divisors

$$D_{h,a} = \{(S, H) \mid \exists \beta \in \text{Pic}(S) \text{ s.t. } \beta^2 = 2h - 2, \beta.H = a\}.$$

In general setting: Heegner divisors

For fixed  $v \in \Lambda^\vee \subset \Lambda_\mathbb{Q}$

$$D_v = v^\perp \cap \mathcal{D}_\Lambda = \{[Z] \in \mathcal{D}_\Lambda \mid \langle Z, v \rangle = 0\}.$$

# Noether–Lefschetz divisors/Heegner divisors on $\mathcal{F}_\Lambda$

In K3 setting: **Noether–Lefschetz divisors**

$$D_{h,a} = \{(S, H) \mid \exists \beta \in \text{Pic}(S) \text{ s.t. } \beta^2 = 2h - 2, \beta.H = a\}.$$

In general setting: **Heegner divisors**

For fixed  $v \in \Lambda^\vee \subset \Lambda_\mathbb{Q}$

$$D_v = v^\perp \cap \mathcal{D}_\Lambda = \{[Z] \in \mathcal{D}_\Lambda \mid \langle Z, v \rangle = 0\}.$$

Let  $\ell + \Lambda \in D(\Lambda) = \Lambda^\vee / \Lambda$  and  $m \in \frac{\langle \ell, \ell \rangle}{2} + \mathbb{Z}$  non-positive, then get  $\tilde{O}^+(\Lambda)$ -invariant cycle

$$\sum_{\substack{v \in \ell + \Lambda \\ \frac{\langle v, v \rangle}{2} = m}} D_v \quad (1)$$

$\implies$  descends to a  $\mathbb{Q}$ -Cartier divisor  $H_{m,\ell}$  on  $\mathcal{F}_\Lambda = \mathcal{D}_\Lambda / \tilde{O}^+(\Lambda)$  called a **Heegner divisor**.

# Heegner divisors generate $\text{Pic}_{\mathbb{Q}}(\mathcal{F}_{\Lambda})$

---

## Theorem (Bergeron–Li–Millson–Moeglin)

Let  $\Lambda$  be an even lattice of signature  $(2, n)$  with  $n \geq 3$  splitting off two copies of the hyperbolic plane. Then

$$\text{Pic}_{\mathbb{Q}}(\mathcal{F}_{\Lambda}) = \langle \text{Heegner divisors} \rangle.$$

In particular, in the K3 setting this says

$$\text{Pic}_{\mathbb{Q}}(\mathcal{F}_{\Lambda}) = \langle \text{Noether–Lefschetz divisors} \rangle.$$

## Relationship to modular forms

---

- The *metaplectic group*  $\mathrm{Mp}_2(\mathbb{Z})$  is a **double cover of  $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$**  of pairs  $(A, \phi(\tau))$  where  $A \in \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$ ,  $\phi(\tau)$  a choice of a square root  $c\tau + d$  on  $\mathbb{H}$ .

## Relationship to modular forms

---

- The *metaplectic group*  $\mathrm{Mp}_2(\mathbb{Z})$  is a **double cover of  $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$**  of pairs  $(A, \phi(\tau))$  where  $A \in \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$ ,  $\phi(\tau)$  a choice of a square root  $c\tau + d$  on  $\mathbb{H}$ .
- The *Weil representation* of  $\mathrm{Mp}_2(\mathbb{Z})$  attached to  $\Lambda$  is a **canonical representation**

$$\rho_\Lambda : \mathrm{Mp}_2(\mathbb{Z}) \longrightarrow \mathrm{GL}(\mathbb{C}[D(\Lambda)]).$$



## Relationship to modular forms

- The *metaplectic group*  $\mathrm{Mp}_2(\mathbb{Z})$  is a **double cover of  $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$**  of pairs  $(A, \phi(\tau))$  where  $A \in \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$ ,  $\phi(\tau)$  a choice of a square root  $c\tau + d$  on  $\mathbb{H}$ .
- The *Weil representation* of  $\mathrm{Mp}_2(\mathbb{Z})$  attached to  $\Lambda$  is a **canonical representation**

$$\rho_\Lambda : \mathrm{Mp}_2(\mathbb{Z}) \longrightarrow \mathrm{GL}(\mathbb{C}[D(\Lambda)]).$$

### Vector-valued modular forms

A holomorphic function

$$f : \mathbb{H} \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}[D(\Lambda)]$$

is a **vector-valued (elliptic) modular form** of weight  $k$  and type  $\rho_\Lambda$  if for all  $g = (A, \phi) \in \mathrm{Mp}_2(\mathbb{Z})$  and  $\tau \in \mathbb{H}$

$$f(A\tau) = \phi(\tau)^{2k} \rho_\Lambda(g) \cdot f(\tau)$$

and  $f$  is holomorphic at the cusp at  $\infty$ .

# Relationship to modular forms

---

## Vector-valued modular forms

A holomorphic function

$$f : \mathbb{H} \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}[D(\Lambda)]$$

is a **vector-valued (elliptic) modular form** of weight  $k$  and type  $\rho_\Lambda$  if for all  $g = (A, \phi) \in \mathrm{Mp}_2(\mathbb{Z})$  and  $\tau \in \mathbb{H}$  we have  $f(A\tau) = \phi(\tau)^{2k} \rho_\Lambda(g) \cdot f(\tau)$  and  $f$  is holomorphic at the cusp at  $\infty$ .

# Relationship to modular forms

## Vector-valued modular forms

A holomorphic function

$$f : \mathbb{H} \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}[D(\Lambda)]$$

is a **vector-valued (elliptic) modular form** of weight  $k$  and type  $\rho_\Lambda$  if for all  $g = (A, \phi) \in \mathrm{Mp}_2(\mathbb{Z})$  and  $\tau \in \mathbb{H}$  we have  $f(A\tau) = \phi(\tau)^{2k} \rho_\Lambda(g) \cdot f(\tau)$  and  $f$  is holomorphic at the cusp at  $\infty$ .

- $M_\Lambda^k = \mathbb{Q}$ -vector space of vector-valued modular forms of weight  $k$  and type  $\rho_\Lambda$

# Relationship to modular forms

## Vector-valued modular forms

A holomorphic function

$$f : \mathbb{H} \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}[D(\Lambda)]$$

is a **vector-valued (elliptic) modular form** of weight  $k$  and type  $\rho_\Lambda$  if for all  $g = (A, \phi) \in \mathrm{Mp}_2(\mathbb{Z})$  and  $\tau \in \mathbb{H}$  we have  $f(A\tau) = \phi(\tau)^{2k} \rho_\Lambda(g) \cdot f(\tau)$  and  $f$  is holomorphic at the cusp at  $\infty$ .

- $M_\Lambda^k = \mathbb{Q}$ -vector space of vector-valued modular forms of weight  $k$  and type  $\rho_\Lambda$
- Elements  $f \in M_\Lambda^k$  have Fourier expansions

$$f = \sum_{\ell \in D(\Lambda)} \sum_{\substack{m \in q(\ell) + \mathbb{Z} \\ m \geq 0}} a_{m,\ell} q^m \mathfrak{e}_\ell,$$

where  $a_{m,\ell} \in \mathbb{Q}$ ,  $q^m = \exp(2\pi i m \tau)$ ,  $\tau \in \mathbb{H}$ , and  $\mathfrak{e}_\ell$  standard generators of  $\mathbb{C}[D(\Lambda)]$ .

## Relationship to modular forms

- $M_{\Lambda}^k = \mathbb{Q}$ -vector space of vector-valued modular forms of weight  $k$  and type  $\rho_{\Lambda}$

### Theorem (Kudla–Millson)

$$\sum_{\ell \in D(\Lambda)} \sum_{\substack{m \in q(\ell) + \mathbb{Z} \\ m \geq 0}} [H_{m,\ell}] q^m \mathfrak{e}_{\ell} \in M_{\Lambda}^k \otimes H^2(\mathcal{F}_{\Lambda}, \mathbb{Q}) \quad (2)$$

The  $[H_{m,\ell}]$  are cohomology classes of Heegner divisors.

## Relationship to modular forms

- $M_{\Lambda}^k = \mathbb{Q}$ -vector space of vector-valued modular forms of weight  $k$  and type  $\rho_{\Lambda}$

### Theorem (Kudla–Millson)

$$\sum_{\ell \in D(\Lambda)} \sum_{\substack{m \in q(\ell) + \mathbb{Z} \\ m \geq 0}} [H_{m,\ell}] q^m \mathbf{e}_{\ell} \in M_{\Lambda}^k \otimes H^2(\mathcal{F}_{\Lambda}, \mathbb{Q}) \quad (2)$$

The  $[H_{m,\ell}]$  are cohomology classes of Heegner divisors.

- Equivalently, for every functional  $F \in (H^2(\mathcal{F}_{\Lambda}, \mathbb{Q}))^{\vee}$ , the series

$$\sum_{\ell \in D(\Lambda)} \sum_{\substack{m \in q(\ell) + \mathbb{Z} \\ m \leq 0}} F([H_{m,\ell}]) q^m \mathbf{e}_{\ell}$$

converges and is a modular form in  $M_{\Lambda}^k$ .

## Relationship to modular forms

---

- $M_{\Lambda}^k = \mathbb{Q}$ -vector space of vector-valued modular forms of weight  $k$  and type  $\rho_{\Lambda}$
- Kudla–Millson implies there is a map

$$\begin{aligned} (H^2(\mathcal{F}_{\Lambda}, \mathbb{Q}))^{\vee} &\rightarrow M_{\Lambda}^k \\ F &\mapsto \sum_{\ell \in D(\Lambda)} \sum_{\substack{m \in q(\ell) + \mathbb{Z} \\ m \leq 0}} F([H_{m,\ell}]) q^m \mathfrak{e}_{\ell}. \end{aligned}$$

## Relationship to modular forms

---

- $M_{\Lambda}^k = \mathbb{Q}$ -vector space of vector-valued modular forms of weight  $k$  and type  $\rho_{\Lambda}$
- Kudla–Millson implies there is a map

$$\begin{aligned} (H^2(\mathcal{F}_{\Lambda}, \mathbb{Q}))^{\vee} &\rightarrow M_{\Lambda}^k \\ F &\mapsto \sum_{\ell \in D(\Lambda)} \sum_{\substack{m \in q(\ell) + \mathbb{Z} \\ m \leq 0}} F([H_{m,\ell}]) q^m \mathfrak{e}_{\ell}. \end{aligned}$$

- Dualizing yields

$$\begin{aligned} \psi: (M_{\Lambda}^k)^{\vee} &\rightarrow H^2(\mathcal{F}_{\Lambda}, \mathbb{Q}) \\ c_{m,\ell} &\mapsto [H_{-m,\ell}] \end{aligned}$$



## Relationship to modular forms

---

**UPSHOT:** There is a map of  $\mathbb{Q}$ -vector spaces

$$\begin{aligned}\psi: \left(M_{\Lambda}^k\right)^{\vee} &\rightarrow H^2(\mathcal{F}_{\Lambda}, \mathbb{Q}) \\ c_{m,\ell} &\mapsto [H_{-m,\ell}]\end{aligned}$$

## Relationship to modular forms

---

**UPSHOT:** There is a map of  $\mathbb{Q}$ -vector spaces

$$\begin{aligned}\psi: \left(M_{\Lambda}^k\right)^{\vee} &\rightarrow H^2(\mathcal{F}_{\Lambda}, \mathbb{Q}) \\ c_{m,\ell} &\mapsto [H_{-m,\ell}]\end{aligned}$$

### Remark

The Bergeron–Li–Millson–Moeglin result that (for  $\Lambda$  splitting 2 copies of  $U$ )

$$\mathrm{Pic}_{\mathbb{Q}}(\mathcal{F}_{\Lambda}) = \langle H_{m,\ell} \rangle$$

in fact is showing that  $\psi: \left(M_{\Lambda}^{k,\circ}\right)^{\vee} \rightarrow H^2(\mathcal{F}_{\Lambda}, \mathbb{Q})$  is an isomorphism, where  $k = \frac{n+2}{2}$ .

(Injectivity already shown by Bruinier).

## Higher codimension: special cycles

- $\mathcal{S}_g$  = symmetric half-integral  $g \times g$ -matrices (diagonal entries integer).
- For  $v = (v_1, \dots, v_g) \in (\Lambda^\vee)^g$ , denote by  $v^\perp$  the set of points in  $\mathcal{D}_\Lambda$  which are orthogonal to every entry of  $v$ .
- The *moment matrix*  $q(v)$  of  $v$  is  $q(v) = \frac{1}{2}(\langle v_a, v_b \rangle)_{a,b=1,\dots,g}$ . Note that if  $v \in \Lambda^g$ , then  $q(v) \in \mathcal{S}_g$ .

### Special Cycles

Let  $\ell \in (D(L))^g$  and let  $T \in q(\ell) + \mathcal{S}_g$ . The formal sum

$$\sum_{\substack{v \in \ell + \Lambda^g \\ q(v) = T}} v^\perp$$

is a locally finite  $\tilde{O}^+(\Lambda)$ -invariant cycle in  $\mathcal{D}_\Lambda$ .

$\implies$  Descends to a codimension  $g$  **special cycle**  $Z(T, \ell)$  on  $\mathcal{F}_\Lambda$ .

## Higher codimension: modular forms

- $\mathbb{H}_g$  = Siegel upper half-space of genus  $g$ ,  $\mathrm{Sp}_{2g}(\mathbb{R})$  acts on  $\mathbb{H}_g$
- $\rho_{\Lambda,g}$  = Weil rep. of the metaplectic cover  $\mathrm{Mp}_{2g}(\mathbb{Z})$  of  $\mathrm{Sp}_{2g}(\mathbb{Z})$  acting on  $\mathbb{C}[D(\Lambda)^g]$

### Definition: Higher genus modular forms

A **vector-valued (Siegel) modular form** of weight  $k$  and genus  $g$  with respect to the Weil representation  $\rho_{\Lambda,g}$  is a holomorphic function  $f: \mathbb{H}_g \rightarrow \mathbb{C}[D(\Lambda)^g]$  such that

$$f(\gamma \cdot \tau) = \phi(\tau)^{2k} \rho_{\Lambda,g}(\gamma) f(\tau) \quad \text{for all } \gamma = (M, \phi) \in \mathrm{Mp}_{2g}(\mathbb{Z}).$$

If  $g = 1$ , then we also require that  $f$  is holomorphic at  $\infty$ .

## Higher codimension: modular forms

- $\mathbb{H}_g$  = Siegel upper half-space of genus  $g$ ,  $\mathrm{Sp}_{2g}(\mathbb{R})$  acts on  $\mathbb{H}_g$
- $\rho_{\Lambda,g}$  = Weil rep. of the metaplectic cover  $\mathrm{Mp}_{2g}(\mathbb{Z})$  of  $\mathrm{Sp}_{2g}(\mathbb{Z})$  acting on  $\mathbb{C}[D(\Lambda)^g]$

### Definition: Higher genus modular forms

A **vector-valued (Siegel) modular form** of weight  $k$  and genus  $g$  with respect to the Weil representation  $\rho_{\Lambda,g}$  is a holomorphic function  $f: \mathbb{H}_g \rightarrow \mathbb{C}[D(\Lambda)^g]$  such that

$$f(\gamma \cdot \tau) = \phi(\tau)^{2k} \rho_{\Lambda,g}(\gamma) f(\tau) \quad \text{for all } \gamma = (M, \phi) \in \mathrm{Mp}_{2g}(\mathbb{Z}).$$

If  $g = 1$ , then we also require that  $f$  is holomorphic at  $\infty$ .

- $M_{g,\Lambda}^k = \mathbb{Q}$ -vector space of such modular forms.

## Higher codimension: modular forms

- $\mathbb{H}_g$  = Siegel upper half-space of genus  $g$ ,  $\mathrm{Sp}_{2g}(\mathbb{R})$  acts on  $\mathbb{H}_g$
- $\rho_{\Lambda,g}$  = Weil rep. of the metaplectic cover  $\mathrm{Mp}_{2g}(\mathbb{Z})$  of  $\mathrm{Sp}_{2g}(\mathbb{Z})$  acting on  $\mathbb{C}[D(\Lambda)^g]$

### Definition: Higher genus modular forms

A **vector-valued (Siegel) modular form** of weight  $k$  and genus  $g$  with respect to the Weil representation  $\rho_{\Lambda,g}$  is a holomorphic function  $f: \mathbb{H}_g \rightarrow \mathbb{C}[D(\Lambda)^g]$  such that

$$f(\gamma \cdot \tau) = \phi(\tau)^{2k} \rho_{\Lambda,g}(\gamma) f(\tau) \quad \text{for all } \gamma = (M, \phi) \in \mathrm{Mp}_{2g}(\mathbb{Z}).$$

If  $g = 1$ , then we also require that  $f$  is holomorphic at  $\infty$ .

- $M_{g,\Lambda}^k = \mathbb{Q}$ -vector space of such modular forms.
- Every  $f \in M_{g,\Lambda}^k$  admits a Fourier expansion of the form

$$f(\tau) = \sum_{\ell \in D_{\Lambda}^g} \sum_{\substack{T \in q(\ell) + \mathcal{S}_g \\ T \leq 0}} a_{T,\ell}(f) q^T \mathbf{e}_{\ell}, \quad \text{where } q^T = e^{2\pi i \mathrm{tr}(T\tau)}.$$

# Higher codimension

## Theorem (Kudla–Millson)

$$\sum_{\ell \in D_{\Lambda}^g} \sum_{\substack{T \in q(\ell) + \mathcal{S}_g \\ T \leq 0}} [Z(T, \ell)] \cdot [-\omega]^{g - \text{rk } T} q^T \mathbf{e}_{\ell} \in M_{g, \Lambda}^k \otimes H^{2g}(\mathcal{F}_{\Lambda}, \mathbb{Q})$$

- As before, get a map

$$\begin{aligned} (H^{2g}(\mathcal{F}_{\Lambda}, \mathbb{Q}))^{\vee} &\rightarrow M_{g, \Lambda}^k \\ F &\mapsto \sum_{\ell \in D_{\Lambda}^g} \sum_{\substack{T \in q(\ell) + \mathcal{S}_g \\ T \leq 0}} F([Z(T, \ell)]) \cdot [-\omega]^{g - \text{rk } T} q^T \mathbf{e}_{\ell}. \end{aligned}$$

# Higher codimension

## Theorem (Kudla–Millson)

$$\sum_{\ell \in D_{\Lambda}^g} \sum_{\substack{T \in q(\ell) + \mathcal{S}_g \\ T \leq 0}} [Z(T, \ell)] \cdot [-\omega]^{g-\text{rk } T} q^T \mathbf{e}_{\ell} \in M_{g, \Lambda}^k \otimes H^{2g}(\mathcal{F}_{\Lambda}, \mathbb{Q})$$

- As before, get a map

$$\begin{aligned} (H^{2g}(\mathcal{F}_{\Lambda}, \mathbb{Q}))^{\vee} &\rightarrow M_{g, \Lambda}^k \\ F &\mapsto \sum_{\ell \in D_{\Lambda}^g} \sum_{\substack{T \in q(\ell) + \mathcal{S}_g \\ T \leq 0}} F([Z(T, \ell)]) \cdot [-\omega]^{g-\text{rk } T} q^T \mathbf{e}_{\ell}. \end{aligned}$$

- Dualizing we have

$$\begin{aligned} \psi: \left(M_{g, \Lambda}^k\right)^{\vee} &\rightarrow H^{2g}(\mathcal{F}_{\Lambda}, \mathbb{Q}) \\ c_{-T, \ell} &\mapsto [Z(T, \ell)] \end{aligned}$$



# Main Goal

## UPSHOT

There is a map of  $\mathbb{Q}$ -vector spaces

$$\psi: \left(M_{g,\Lambda}^k\right)^\vee \rightarrow H^{2g}(\mathcal{F}_\Lambda, \mathbb{Q})$$

If  $\Lambda$  splits off  $U^{\oplus 2}$  and  $n \geq 3$  (the geometric setting), get an isomorphism

$$\psi: \left(M_{1,\Lambda}^{k,\circ}\right)^\vee \xrightarrow{\cong} \mathrm{Pic}_{\mathbb{Q}}(\mathcal{F}_\Lambda).$$

# Main Goal

---

## UPSHOT

There is a map of  $\mathbb{Q}$ -vector spaces

$$\psi: \left(M_{g,\Lambda}^k\right)^\vee \rightarrow H^{2g}(\mathcal{F}_\Lambda, \mathbb{Q})$$

If  $\Lambda$  splits off  $U^{\oplus 2}$  and  $n \geq 3$  (the geometric setting), get an isomorphism

$$\psi: \left(M_{1,\Lambda}^{k,\circ}\right)^\vee \xrightarrow{\cong} \mathrm{Pic}_{\mathbb{Q}}(\mathcal{F}_\Lambda).$$

**MAIN GOAL:** Exploit the above for geometric applications

# Main Goal

## UPSHOT

There is a map of  $\mathbb{Q}$ -vector spaces

$$\psi: \left(M_{g,\Lambda}^k\right)^\vee \rightarrow H^{2g}(\mathcal{F}_\Lambda, \mathbb{Q})$$

If  $\Lambda$  splits off  $U^{\oplus 2}$  and  $n \geq 3$  (the geometric setting), get an isomorphism

$$\psi: \left(M_{1,\Lambda}^{k,\circ}\right)^\vee \xrightarrow{\cong} \mathrm{Pic}_{\mathbb{Q}}(\mathcal{F}_\Lambda).$$

**MAIN GOAL:** Exploit the above for geometric applications

## Motivating questions

- Unirationality/uniruledness of  $\mathcal{F}_\Lambda$ ?

# Main Goal

## UPSHOT

There is a map of  $\mathbb{Q}$ -vector spaces

$$\psi: \left(M_{g,\Lambda}^k\right)^\vee \rightarrow H^{2g}(\mathcal{F}_\Lambda, \mathbb{Q})$$

If  $\Lambda$  splits off  $U^{\oplus 2}$  and  $n \geq 3$  (the geometric setting), get an isomorphism

$$\psi: \left(M_{1,\Lambda}^{k,\circ}\right)^\vee \xrightarrow{\cong} \text{Pic}_{\mathbb{Q}}(\mathcal{F}_\Lambda).$$

**MAIN GOAL:** Exploit the above for geometric applications

## Motivating questions

- Unirationality/uniruledness of  $\mathcal{F}_\Lambda$ ?
- Special cycles on  $\mathcal{F}_\Lambda$  under “tautological maps”?

# Main Goal

## UPSHOT

There is a map of  $\mathbb{Q}$ -vector spaces

$$\psi: \left(M_{g,\Lambda}^k\right)^\vee \rightarrow H^{2g}(\mathcal{F}_\Lambda, \mathbb{Q})$$

If  $\Lambda$  splits off  $U^{\oplus 2}$  and  $n \geq 3$  (the geometric setting), get an isomorphism

$$\psi: \left(M_{1,\Lambda}^{k,\circ}\right)^\vee \xrightarrow{\cong} \mathrm{Pic}_{\mathbb{Q}}(\mathcal{F}_\Lambda).$$

**MAIN GOAL:** Exploit the above for geometric applications

## Motivating questions

- Unirationality/uniruledness of  $\mathcal{F}_\Lambda$ ?
- Special cycles on  $\mathcal{F}_\Lambda$  under “tautological maps”?
- Effective and extremal divisors on  $\mathcal{F}_\Lambda$ ?

## Uniruledness of $\mathcal{F}_\Lambda$

---

Let  $\Lambda$  be an even lattice of signature  $(2, n)$  with  $n \geq 3$  splitting off two copies of  $U$ .  
For  $k = \frac{n+2}{2}$

$$\psi: \left(M_{1,\Lambda}^{k,\circ}\right)^\vee \xrightarrow{\cong} \mathrm{Pic}_{\mathbb{Q}}(\mathcal{F}_\Lambda).$$

## Uniruledness of $\mathcal{F}_\Lambda$

---

Let  $\Lambda$  be an even lattice of signature  $(2, n)$  with  $n \geq 3$  splitting off two copies of  $U$ .  
For  $k = \frac{n+2}{2}$

$$\psi: \left(M_{1,\Lambda}^{k,\circ}\right)^\vee \xrightarrow{\cong} \mathrm{Pic}_{\mathbb{Q}}(\mathcal{F}_\Lambda).$$

In  $M_{1,\Lambda}^{k,\circ}$  is the Eisenstein series

$$E_{k,\Lambda}(\tau) = \sum_{(A,\phi) \in \tilde{\Gamma}_\infty \backslash \mathrm{Mp}_2(\mathbb{Z})} \phi(\tau)^{2k} \cdot \rho_\Lambda(A, \phi)^{-1} \mathfrak{e}_0 = \sum_{m,\ell} e_{m,\ell} q^m \mathfrak{e}_\ell.$$

## Uniruledness of $\mathcal{F}_\Lambda$

Let  $\Lambda$  be an even lattice of signature  $(2, n)$  with  $n \geq 3$  splitting off two copies of  $U$ .  
For  $k = \frac{n+2}{2}$

$$\psi: \left(M_{1,\Lambda}^{k,\circ}\right)^\vee \xrightarrow{\cong} \mathrm{Pic}_{\mathbb{Q}}(\mathcal{F}_\Lambda).$$

In  $M_{1,\Lambda}^{k,\circ}$  is the Eisenstein series

$$E_{k,\Lambda}(\tau) = \sum_{(A,\phi) \in \tilde{\Gamma}_\infty \backslash \mathrm{Mp}_2(\mathbb{Z})} \phi(\tau)^{2k} \cdot \rho_\Lambda(A, \phi)^{-1} \mathfrak{e}_0 = \sum_{m,\ell} e_{m,\ell} q^m \mathfrak{e}_\ell.$$

Proposition (Barros–Beri–F.–Williams '24)

The orthogonal modular variety  $\mathcal{F}_\Lambda$  is uniruled if

$$n e_{0,0} + \frac{1}{4} e_{1,0} < 0.$$



# Uniruledness of $\mathcal{F}_\Lambda$

## Proposition (Barros–Beri–F.–Williams '24)

The orthogonal modular variety  $\mathcal{F}_\Lambda$  is uniruled if  $ne_{0,0} + \frac{1}{4}e_{1,0} < 0$ .

### PROOF IDEA:

- Choose curve class  $C = \lambda^{n-1}$  to not meet boundary of  $\mathcal{F}_\Lambda^{BB}$  (hence same for  $\mathcal{F}_\Lambda^{\text{tor}}$ ).

# Uniruledness of $\mathcal{F}_\Lambda$

## Proposition (Barros–Beri–F.–Williams '24)

The orthogonal modular variety  $\mathcal{F}_\Lambda$  is uniruled if  $n\mathbf{e}_{0,0} + \frac{1}{4}\mathbf{e}_{1,0} < 0$ .

### PROOF IDEA:

- Choose curve class  $C = \lambda^{n-1}$  to not meet boundary of  $\mathcal{F}_\Lambda^{BB}$  (hence same for  $\mathcal{F}_\Lambda^{\text{tor}}$ ).
- The map

$$\begin{aligned} \deg: \text{Pic}_{\mathbb{Q}}(\mathcal{F}_\Lambda) &\rightarrow \mathbb{Q} \\ H_{-m,\ell} &\mapsto H_{-m,\ell} \cdot C \end{aligned}$$

# Uniruledness of $\mathcal{F}_\Lambda$

## Proposition (Barros–Beri–F.–Williams '24)

The orthogonal modular variety  $\mathcal{F}_\Lambda$  is uniruled if  $n\mathbf{e}_{0,0} + \frac{1}{4}\mathbf{e}_{1,0} < 0$ .

### PROOF IDEA:

- Choose curve class  $C = \lambda^{n-1}$  to not meet boundary of  $\mathcal{F}_\Lambda^{BB}$  (hence same for  $\mathcal{F}_\Lambda^{\text{tor}}$ ).
- The map

$$\begin{aligned}\deg: \text{Pic}_{\mathbb{Q}}(\mathcal{F}_\Lambda) &\rightarrow \mathbb{Q} \\ H_{-m,\ell} &\mapsto H_{-m,\ell} \cdot C\end{aligned}$$

lies in  $(\text{Pic}_{\mathbb{Q}}(\mathcal{F}_\Lambda))^{\vee} \cong M_{1,\Lambda}^{k,\circ}$ .

# Uniruledness of $\mathcal{F}_\Lambda$

## Proposition (Barros–Beri–F.–Williams '24)

The orthogonal modular variety  $\mathcal{F}_\Lambda$  is uniruled if  $n\mathbf{e}_{0,0} + \frac{1}{4}\mathbf{e}_{1,0} < 0$ .

### PROOF IDEA:

- Choose curve class  $C = \lambda^{n-1}$  to not meet boundary of  $\mathcal{F}_\Lambda^{BB}$  (hence same for  $\mathcal{F}_\Lambda^{\text{tor}}$ ).
- The map

$$\begin{aligned} \deg: \text{Pic}_{\mathbb{Q}}(\mathcal{F}_\Lambda) &\rightarrow \mathbb{Q} \\ H_{-m,\ell} &\mapsto H_{-m,\ell} \cdot C \end{aligned}$$

lies in  $(\text{Pic}_{\mathbb{Q}}(\mathcal{F}_\Lambda))^{\vee} \cong M_{1,\Lambda}^{k,\circ}$ . Hence corresponds to

$$\sum_{\ell \in D(\Lambda)} \sum_{\substack{m \in q(\ell) + \mathbb{Z} \\ m \leq 0}} (H_{m,\ell} \cdot C) q^m \mathbf{e}_\ell. \quad (3)$$

# Uniruledness of $\mathcal{F}_\Lambda$

## Proposition (Barros–Beri–F.–Williams '24)

The orthogonal modular variety  $\mathcal{F}_\Lambda$  is uniruled if  $n\mathbf{e}_{0,0} + \frac{1}{4}\mathbf{e}_{1,0} < 0$ .

### PROOF IDEA:

- Choose curve class  $C = \lambda^{n-1}$  to not meet boundary of  $\mathcal{F}_\Lambda^{BB}$  (hence same for  $\mathcal{F}_\Lambda^{\text{tor}}$ ).
- The map

$$\begin{aligned} \deg: \text{Pic}_{\mathbb{Q}}(\mathcal{F}_\Lambda) &\rightarrow \mathbb{Q} \\ H_{-m,\ell} &\mapsto H_{-m,\ell} \cdot C \end{aligned}$$

lies in  $(\text{Pic}_{\mathbb{Q}}(\mathcal{F}_\Lambda))^{\vee} \cong M_{1,\Lambda}^{k,\circ}$ . Hence corresponds to

$$\sum_{\ell \in D(\Lambda)} \sum_{\substack{m \in q(\ell) + \mathbb{Z} \\ m \leq 0}} (H_{m,\ell} \cdot C) q^m \mathbf{e}_\ell. \quad (3)$$

- By work of Kudla this series (3) is equal to  $\gamma E_{k,\Lambda}(\tau)$  for some  $\gamma > 0$

# Uniruledness of $\mathcal{F}_\Lambda$

---

## Proposition (Barros–Beri–F.–Williams '24)

The orthogonal modular variety  $\mathcal{F}_\Lambda$  is uniruled if  $ne_{0,0} + \frac{1}{4}e_{1,0} < 0$ .

### PROOF IDEA:

- Hence each  $H_{m,\ell} \cdot C = \gamma e_{m,\ell}$ .

# Uniruledness of $\mathcal{F}_\Lambda$

## Proposition (Barros–Beri–F.–Williams '24)

The orthogonal modular variety  $\mathcal{F}_\Lambda$  is uniruled if  $ne_{0,0} + \frac{1}{4}e_{1,0} < 0$ .

### PROOF IDEA:

- Hence each  $H_{m,\ell} \cdot C = \gamma e_{m,\ell}$ .
- If  $Y$  is a resolution of  $\mathcal{F}_\Lambda^{\text{tor}}$  we have

$$K_Y \cdot C = \left( \sum_{i=1}^r \alpha_{m,\mu} H_{-m,\mu} \right) \cdot C \leq \gamma \left( ne_{0,0} + \frac{1}{4}e_{1,0} \right).$$

# Uniruledness of $\mathcal{F}_\Lambda$

## Proposition (Barros–Beri–F.–Williams '24)

The orthogonal modular variety  $\mathcal{F}_\Lambda$  is uniruled if  $ne_{0,0} + \frac{1}{4}e_{1,0} < 0$ .

### PROOF IDEA:

- Hence each  $H_{m,\ell} \cdot C = \gamma e_{m,\ell}$ .
- If  $Y$  is a resolution of  $\mathcal{F}_\Lambda^{\text{tor}}$  we have

$$K_Y.C = \left( \sum_{i=1}^r \alpha_{m,\mu} H_{-m,\mu} \right) \cdot C \leq \gamma \left( ne_{0,0} + \frac{1}{4}e_{1,0} \right).$$

- So if  $ne_{0,0} + \frac{1}{4}e_{1,0} < 0$ , then  $K_Y.C < 0$  and so  $Y$  and thus  $\mathcal{F}_\Lambda$  is uniruled.



# Uniruledness for some moduli of hyperkähler manifolds

## Proposition (Barros–Beri–F.–Williams '24)

The orthogonal modular variety  $\mathcal{F}_\Lambda$  is uniruled if  $ne_{0,0} + \frac{1}{4}e_{1,0} < 0$ .

## Corollary

The moduli space  $\mathcal{M}_{\text{OG6},2d}^\gamma$  is uniruled in the following cases

- (i) when  $\gamma = 1$  for  $d \leq 12$ ,
- (ii) when  $\gamma = 2$  for  $t \leq 10$  and  $t = 12$  with  $d = 4t - 1$ ,
- (iii) when  $\gamma = 2$  for  $t \leq 9$  and  $t = 11, 13$  with  $d = 4t - 2$ .

The moduli spaces  $\mathcal{M}_{\text{Kum}_n,2}^1$  and  $\mathcal{M}_{\text{Kum}_n,2}^2$  are uniruled in the following cases:

- (i) when  $\gamma = 1$  for  $n \leq 15$  and  $n = 17, 20$ ,
- (ii) when  $\gamma = 2$  for  $t \leq 11$  and  $t = 13, 15, 17, 19$ , where  $n = 4t - 2$ .

## Special cycles under pullback

---

Let  $L \subset \Lambda$  sublattice of signature  $(2, n')$  with  $n' \leq n$ .

## Special cycles under pullback

---

Let  $L \subset \Lambda$  sublattice of signature  $(2, n')$  with  $n' \leq n$ .

- Get induced map  $\varphi: \mathcal{F}_L \rightarrow \mathcal{F}_\Lambda$

## Special cycles under pullback

---

Let  $L \subset \Lambda$  sublattice of signature  $(2, n')$  with  $n' \leq n$ .

- Get induced map  $\varphi: \mathcal{F}_L \rightarrow \mathcal{F}_\Lambda$  and so

$$\varphi^*: H^{2g}(\mathcal{F}_\Lambda, \mathbb{C}) \longrightarrow H^{2g}(\mathcal{F}_L, \mathbb{C}).$$

## Special cycles under pullback

---

Let  $L \subset \Lambda$  sublattice of signature  $(2, n')$  with  $n' \leq n$ .

- Get induced map  $\varphi: \mathcal{F}_L \rightarrow \mathcal{F}_\Lambda$  and so

$$\varphi^*: H^{2g}(\mathcal{F}_\Lambda, \mathbb{C}) \longrightarrow H^{2g}(\mathcal{F}_L, \mathbb{C}).$$

### Question

Describe pullback of special cycles?

## Special cycles under pullback

Let  $L \subset \Lambda$  sublattice of signature  $(2, n')$  with  $n' \leq n$ . Let  $K = L^\perp$  and  $\Omega = L \oplus K$ .

**Theorem (Barros–F.–Zuffetti) (forthcoming '25)**

The following diagram commutes

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 (M_{g,\Lambda}^{\text{rk } \Lambda/2})^\vee & \xrightarrow{(\Theta_K)^\vee \circ \text{tr}_{\Lambda/\Omega}^\vee} & (M_{g,L}^{\text{rk } L/2})^\vee \\
 \downarrow \psi_\Lambda & & \downarrow \psi_L \\
 H^2(\mathcal{F}_\Lambda, \mathbb{C}) & \xrightarrow{\varphi^*} & H^2(\mathcal{F}_L, \mathbb{C})
 \end{array} \tag{4}$$

In the above,  $\text{tr}_{g,\Lambda/\Omega}: M_{g,\Omega}^k \longrightarrow M_{g,\Lambda}^k$  is the trace map and  $\Theta_{K,g}(\tau)$  is the vector-valued genus  $g$  theta function in  $M_{g,K}^{\text{rk } K/2}$  arising from  $K$ .

# Special cycles under pullback

## Corollary (Barros–F.–Zuffetti) (forthcoming '25)

For the codimension  $g$  special cycle  $Z(T, \bar{\ell})^\Lambda$  on  $\mathcal{F}_\Lambda$ , where  $\bar{\ell} \in D(\Lambda)^g$ ,  $T \in q(\bar{\ell}) + \mathcal{S}_g$ , we have

$$\varphi^*([Z(T, \bar{\ell})^\Lambda]) = \sum_{\alpha \in (\Lambda/\Omega)^g} \sum_{\substack{t \in q((\alpha + \ell)_L) + \mathcal{S}_g \\ t \geq 0}} \theta_g(T - t, (\alpha + \ell)_K) [Z(t, (\alpha + \ell)_L)^L] \cup [-\omega]^{g - \text{rk } t},$$

where  $\ell \in \Lambda^\vee/\Omega$  is any fixed preimage of  $\bar{\ell}$  under  $\Lambda^\vee/\Omega \rightarrow \Lambda^\vee/\Lambda$  and  $\theta_g(T, \kappa)$  the Fourier coefficient of index  $(T, \kappa)$  of  $\Theta_{K, g}$ .

# Special cycles under pullback

## Corollary (Barros–F.–Zuffetti) (forthcoming '25)

For the codimension  $g$  special cycle  $Z(T, \bar{\ell})^\Lambda$  on  $\mathcal{F}_\Lambda$ , where  $\bar{\ell} \in D(\Lambda)^g$ ,  $T \in q(\bar{\ell}) + \mathcal{S}_g$ , we have

$$\varphi^*([Z(T, \bar{\ell})^\Lambda]) = \sum_{\alpha \in (\Lambda/\Omega)^g} \sum_{\substack{t \in q((\alpha + \ell)_L) + \mathcal{S}_g \\ t \geq 0}} \theta_g(T - t, (\alpha + \ell)_K) [Z(t, (\alpha + \ell)_L)^L] \cup [-\omega]^{g - \text{rk } t},$$

where  $\ell \in \Lambda^\vee/\Omega$  is any fixed preimage of  $\bar{\ell}$  under  $\Lambda^\vee/\Omega \rightarrow \Lambda^\vee/\Lambda$  and  $\theta_g(T, \kappa)$  the Fourier coefficient of index  $(T, \kappa)$  of  $\Theta_{K, g}$ .

**POINT:** We obtain an explicit description of the pullback of a special cycle.



## Cones of effective divisors on $\mathcal{F}_\Lambda$

---

### Question

What is  $\overline{\text{Eff}}(\mathcal{F}_\Lambda)$ ?

## Cones of effective divisors on $\mathcal{F}_\Lambda$

---

### Question

What is  $\overline{\text{Eff}}(\mathcal{F}_\Lambda)$ ?

$$\text{Eff}^{NL}(\mathcal{F}_\Lambda) = \langle \text{effective } \mathbb{Q}\text{-linear combos of irr. comp. of Heegner divisors} \rangle$$

## Cones of effective divisors on $\mathcal{F}_\Lambda$

---

### Question

What is  $\overline{\text{Eff}}(\mathcal{F}_\Lambda)$ ?

$\text{Eff}^{NL}(\mathcal{F}_\Lambda) = \langle \text{effective } \mathbb{Q}\text{-linear combos of irr. comp. of Heegner divisors} \rangle$

$$\text{Eff}_{\mathbb{R}}^{NL}(\mathcal{F}_\Lambda) \subset \overline{\text{Eff}}(\mathcal{F}_\Lambda)$$

# Cones of effective divisors on $\mathcal{F}_\Lambda$

---

## Question

What is  $\overline{\text{Eff}}(\mathcal{F}_\Lambda)$ ?

$\text{Eff}^{NL}(\mathcal{F}_\Lambda) = \langle \text{effective } \mathbb{Q}\text{-linear combos of irr. comp. of Heegner divisors} \rangle$

$$\text{Eff}_{\mathbb{R}}^{NL}(\mathcal{F}_\Lambda) \subset \overline{\text{Eff}}(\mathcal{F}_\Lambda)$$

- (Bruinier–Möller)  $\text{Eff}^{NL}(\mathcal{F}_\Lambda)$  is polyhedral.

# Cones of effective divisors on $\mathcal{F}_\Lambda$

## Question

What is  $\overline{\text{Eff}}(\mathcal{F}_\Lambda)$ ?

$\text{Eff}^{NL}(\mathcal{F}_\Lambda) = \langle \text{effective } \mathbb{Q}\text{-linear combos of irr. comp. of Heegner divisors} \rangle$

$$\text{Eff}_{\mathbb{R}}^{NL}(\mathcal{F}_\Lambda) \subset \overline{\text{Eff}}(\mathcal{F}_\Lambda)$$

- (Bruinier–Möller)  $\text{Eff}^{NL}(\mathcal{F}_\Lambda)$  is polyhedral.

## Question

Is  $\text{Eff}_{\mathbb{R}}^{NL}(\mathcal{F}_\Lambda) = \overline{\text{Eff}}(\mathcal{F}_\Lambda)$ ?

# Cones of effective divisors on $\mathcal{F}_\Lambda$

---

Theorem (Barros–Beri–F.–Williams '24)

For  $\Lambda$  splitting off  $U^{\oplus 2}$  and  $n \geq 3$ , we give an explicit list of generators of  $\text{Eff}^{NL}(\mathcal{F}_\Lambda)$ .

# Cones of effective divisors on $\mathcal{F}_\Lambda$

## Theorem (Barros–Beri–F.–Williams '24)

For  $\Lambda$  splitting off  $U^{\oplus 2}$  and  $n \geq 3$ , we give an explicit list of generators of  $\text{Eff}^{NL}(\mathcal{F}_\Lambda)$ .

## Example

In the K3 setting, where

$$\Lambda = U^{\oplus 2} \oplus E_8(-1)^{\oplus 2} \oplus \mathbb{Z}\ell, \text{ with } \langle \ell, \ell \rangle = -2d$$

$\mathcal{F}_\Lambda$  = moduli space of quasi-polarized K3 surfaces of degree  $2d$

we write down  $\text{Eff}^{NL}(\mathcal{F}_\Lambda)$  for  $d \leq 20$  (e.g.  $\text{Eff}^{NL}(\mathcal{F}_2) = \langle D_{0,0} - D_{1,1}, D_{1,1} \rangle$ ).

# Cones of effective divisors on $\mathcal{F}_\Lambda$

## Theorem (Barros–Beri–F.–Williams '24)

For  $\Lambda$  splitting off  $U^{\oplus 2}$  and  $n \geq 3$ , we give an explicit list of generators of  $\text{Eff}^{NL}(\mathcal{F}_\Lambda)$ .

## Example

In the K3 setting, where

$$\Lambda = U^{\oplus 2} \oplus E_8(-1)^{\oplus 2} \oplus \mathbb{Z}\ell, \text{ with } \langle \ell, \ell \rangle = -2d$$

$\mathcal{F}_\Lambda$  = moduli space of quasi-polarized K3 surfaces of degree  $2d$

we write down  $\text{Eff}^{NL}(\mathcal{F}_\Lambda)$  for  $d \leq 20$  (e.g.  $\text{Eff}^{NL}(\mathcal{F}_2) = \langle D_{0,0} - D_{1,1}, D_{1,1} \rangle$ ).

**KEY INGREDIENT OF PROOF:**  $\psi: \left(M_{1,\Lambda}^{k,\circ}\right)^\vee \xrightarrow{\cong} \text{Pic}_{\mathbb{Q}}(\mathcal{F}_\Lambda).$



# Cones of effective divisors on $\mathcal{F}_\Lambda$

## Theorem (Barros–Beri–F.–Williams '24)

For  $\Lambda$  splitting off  $U^{\oplus 2}$  and  $n \geq 3$ , we give an explicit list of generators of  $\text{Eff}^{NL}(\mathcal{F}_\Lambda)$ .

## Example

In the K3 setting, where

$$\Lambda = U^{\oplus 2} \oplus E_8(-1)^{\oplus 2} \oplus \mathbb{Z}\ell, \text{ with } \langle \ell, \ell \rangle = -2d$$
$$\mathcal{F}_\Lambda = \text{moduli space of quasi-polarized K3 surfaces of degree } 2d$$

we write down  $\text{Eff}^{NL}(\mathcal{F}_\Lambda)$  for  $d \leq 20$  (e.g.  $\text{Eff}^{NL}(\mathcal{F}_2) = \langle D_{0,0} - D_{1,1}, D_{1,1} \rangle$ ).

**KEY INGREDIENT OF PROOF:**  $\psi: \left(M_{1,\Lambda}^{k,\circ}\right)^\vee \xrightarrow{\cong} \text{Pic}_{\mathbb{Q}}(\mathcal{F}_\Lambda)$ .

$\implies$  translate to a problem about modular forms.

## Extremal divisors on $\mathcal{F}_\Lambda$

---

Given  $\text{Eff}_{\mathbb{R}}^{NL}(\mathcal{F}_\Lambda) \subset \overline{\text{Eff}}(\mathcal{F}_\Lambda)$  and we know generators of  $\text{Eff}_{\mathbb{R}}^{NL}(\mathcal{F}_\Lambda)$

## Extremal divisors on $\mathcal{F}_\Lambda$

---

Given  $\text{Eff}_{\mathbb{R}}^{NL}(\mathcal{F}_\Lambda) \subset \overline{\text{Eff}}(\mathcal{F}_\Lambda)$  and we know generators of  $\text{Eff}_{\mathbb{R}}^{NL}(\mathcal{F}_\Lambda)$

### Question

Are the generators of  $\text{Eff}_{\mathbb{R}}^{NL}(\mathcal{F}_\Lambda)$  extremal in  $\overline{\text{Eff}}(\mathcal{F}_\Lambda)$ ?

# Extremal divisors on $\mathcal{F}_\Lambda$

Given  $\text{Eff}_{\mathbb{R}}^{NL}(\mathcal{F}_\Lambda) \subset \overline{\text{Eff}}(\mathcal{F}_\Lambda)$  and we know generators of  $\text{Eff}_{\mathbb{R}}^{NL}(\mathcal{F}_\Lambda)$

## Question

Are the generators of  $\text{Eff}_{\mathbb{R}}^{NL}(\mathcal{F}_\Lambda)$  extremal in  $\overline{\text{Eff}}(\mathcal{F}_\Lambda)$ ?

## Theorem (Barros-F.-Zuffetti)

Suppose  $\Lambda$  splits off  $U^{\oplus 2}$  and  $n \geq 3$ . Let  $\rho \in \Lambda$  prim. s.t.  $\rho^2 < 0$ ,  $K = \mathbb{Z}\rho$ ,  $L = K^\perp$ . If

$$- \sum_{\substack{\alpha \in \Lambda/L \oplus K \\ 0 < t < -m}} e_{t, \alpha_L} < \frac{1}{2}$$

for  $E_{\frac{n+1}{2}, L}(\tau) = \sum_{m, \ell} e_{m, \ell} q^m \mathfrak{e}_\ell$  the weight  $\frac{\text{rk } L}{2}$  Eisenstein series for  $L$ , then the irreducible component  $P_{m, \rho_*}^\Lambda$  of  $H_{m, \rho_*}^\Lambda$  is extremal.

## Extremal divisors on $\mathcal{F}_\Lambda$

### Theorem (Barros–F.–Zuffetti)

Suppose  $\Lambda$  splits off  $U^{\oplus 2}$  and  $n \geq 3$ . Let  $\rho \in \Lambda$  prim. s.t.  $\rho^2 < 0$ ,  $K = \mathbb{Z}\rho$ ,  $L = K^\perp$ . If

$$-\sum_{\substack{\alpha \in \Lambda/L \oplus K \\ 0 < t < -m}} e_{t, \alpha_L} < \frac{1}{2},$$

then the irreducible component  $P_{m, \rho_*}^\Lambda$  of  $H_{m, \rho_*}^\Lambda$  is extremal.

### PROOF IDEA:

- Find  $L \subset \Lambda$  such that  $\varphi: \mathcal{F}_L \rightarrow \mathcal{F}_\Lambda$  has image  $H_{m, \rho_*}$ .

## Extremal divisors on $\mathcal{F}_\Lambda$

### Theorem (Barros–F.–Zuffetti)

Suppose  $\Lambda$  splits off  $U^{\oplus 2}$  and  $n \geq 3$ . Let  $\rho \in \Lambda$  prim. s.t.  $\rho^2 < 0$ ,  $K = \mathbb{Z}\rho$ ,  $L = K^\perp$ . If

$$-\sum_{\substack{\alpha \in \Lambda/L \oplus K \\ 0 < t < -m}} e_{t, \alpha_L} < \frac{1}{2},$$

then the irreducible component  $P_{m, \rho_*}^\Lambda$  of  $H_{m, \rho_*}^\Lambda$  is extremal.

### PROOF IDEA:

- Find  $L \subset \Lambda$  such that  $\varphi: \mathcal{F}_L \rightarrow \mathcal{F}_\Lambda$  has image  $H_{m, \rho_*}$ .
- Find curve class  $C$  (not meeting boundary of  $\mathcal{F}_\Lambda^{BB}$ ) s.t.  $C$  covers  $P_{m, \rho_*}^\Lambda$ .

## Extremal divisors on $\mathcal{F}_\Lambda$

### Theorem (Barros–F.–Zuffetti)

Suppose  $\Lambda$  splits off  $U^{\oplus 2}$  and  $n \geq 3$ . Let  $\rho \in \Lambda$  prim. s.t.  $\rho^2 < 0$ ,  $K = \mathbb{Z}\rho$ ,  $L = K^\perp$ . If

$$-\sum_{\substack{\alpha \in \Lambda/L \oplus K \\ 0 < t < -m}} e_{t, \alpha_L} < \frac{1}{2},$$

then the irreducible component  $P_{m, \rho_*}^\Lambda$  of  $H_{m, \rho_*}^\Lambda$  is extremal.

### PROOF IDEA:

- Find  $L \subset \Lambda$  such that  $\varphi: \mathcal{F}_L \rightarrow \mathcal{F}_\Lambda$  has image  $H_{m, \rho_*}$ .
- Find curve class  $C$  (not meeting boundary of  $\mathcal{F}_\Lambda^{BB}$ ) s.t.  $C$  covers  $P_{m, \rho_*}^\Lambda$ .

Enough to show  $C \cdot H_{m, \rho_*}^\Lambda < 0$  ( $\implies C \cdot P_{m, \rho_*}^\Lambda < 0$ )

# Extremal divisors on $\mathcal{F}_\Lambda$

## Theorem (Barros–F.–Zuffetti)

Suppose  $\Lambda$  splits off  $U^{\oplus 2}$  and  $n \geq 3$ . Let  $\rho \in \Lambda$  prim. s.t.  $\rho^2 < 0$ ,  $K = \mathbb{Z}\rho$ ,  $L = K^\perp$ . If

$$-\sum_{\substack{\alpha \in \Lambda/L \oplus K \\ 0 < t < -m}} e_{t, \alpha_L} < \frac{1}{2},$$

then the irreducible component  $P_{m, \rho_*}^\Lambda$  of  $H_{m, \rho_*}^\Lambda$  is extremal.

## PROOF IDEA:

- Find  $L \subset \Lambda$  such that  $\varphi: \mathcal{F}_L \rightarrow \mathcal{F}_\Lambda$  has image  $H_{m, \rho_*}$ .
- Find curve class  $C$  (not meeting boundary of  $\mathcal{F}_\Lambda^{BB}$ ) s.t.  $C$  covers  $P_{m, \rho_*}^\Lambda$ .  
Enough to show  $C \cdot H_{m, \rho_*}^\Lambda < 0$  ( $\implies C \cdot P_{m, \rho_*}^\Lambda < 0$ )
- Use [pullback formula for special cycles](#) to compute  $\varphi^* H_{-m, \ell}$  and similar tricks as in uniruledness proof to get  $\varphi^* C \cdot \varphi^* H_{-m, \ell} < 0$ .



## Extremal divisors on $\mathcal{F}_\Lambda$

### Theorem (Barros–F.–Zuffetti)

Suppose  $\Lambda$  splits off  $U^{\oplus 2}$  and  $n \geq 3$ . Let  $\rho \in \Lambda$  prim. s.t.  $\rho^2 < 0$ ,  $K = \mathbb{Z}\rho$ ,  $L = K^\perp$ . If

$$-\sum_{\substack{\alpha \in \Lambda/L \oplus K \\ 0 < t < -m}} e_{t, \alpha_L} < \frac{1}{2},$$

then the irreducible component  $P_{m, \rho_*}^\Lambda$  of  $H_{m, \rho_*}^\Lambda$  is extremal.

### PROOF IDEA:

- Find  $L \subset \Lambda$  such that  $\varphi: \mathcal{F}_L \rightarrow \mathcal{F}_\Lambda$  has image  $H_{m, \rho_*}$ .
- Find curve class  $C$  (not meeting boundary of  $\mathcal{F}_\Lambda^{BB}$ ) s.t.  $C$  covers  $P_{m, \rho_*}^\Lambda$ .  
Enough to show  $C \cdot H_{m, \rho_*}^\Lambda < 0$  ( $\implies C \cdot P_{m, \rho_*}^\Lambda < 0$ )
- Use [pullback formula for special cycles](#) to compute  $\varphi^* H_{-m, \ell}$  and similar tricks as in uniruledness proof to get  $\varphi^* C \cdot \varphi^* H_{-m, \ell} < 0$ .
- Projection formula implies  $C \cdot H_{-m, \ell} < 0$ .

## Extremal divisors on $\mathcal{F}_\Lambda$

### Theorem (Barros–F.–Zuffetti)

Suppose  $\Lambda$  splits off  $U^{\oplus 2}$  and  $n \geq 3$ . Let  $\rho \in \Lambda$  prim. s.t.  $\rho^2 < 0$ ,  $K = \mathbb{Z}\rho$ ,  $L = K^\perp$ . If  $-\sum_{\substack{\alpha \in \Lambda/L \oplus K \\ 0 < t < -m}} e_{t, \alpha_L} < \frac{1}{2}$ , then the irreducible component  $P_{m, \rho_*}^\Lambda$  of  $H_{m, \rho_*}^\Lambda$  is extremal.

## Extremal divisors on $\mathcal{F}_\Lambda$

### Theorem (Barros–F.–Zuffetti)

Suppose  $\Lambda$  splits off  $U^{\oplus 2}$  and  $n \geq 3$ . Let  $\rho \in \Lambda$  prim. s.t.  $\rho^2 < 0$ ,  $K = \mathbb{Z}\rho$ ,  $L = K^\perp$ . If  $-\sum_{\substack{\alpha \in \Lambda/L \oplus K \\ 0 < t < -m}} e_{t, \alpha_L} < \frac{1}{2}$ , then the irreducible component  $P_{m, \rho_*}^\Lambda$  of  $H_{m, \rho_*}^\Lambda$  is extremal.

### Example

In the K3 setting, for low degrees  $d \leq 7$ , this shows that all generators of  $\text{Eff}_{\mathbb{R}}^{NL}(\mathcal{F}_\Lambda)$  except the nodal Noether–Lefschetz divisor  $D_{0,0}$  are extremal in  $\overline{\text{Eff}}(\mathcal{F}_\Lambda)$ .

# Extremal divisors on $\mathcal{F}_\Lambda$

## Theorem (Barros–F.–Zuffetti)

Suppose  $\Lambda$  splits off  $U^{\oplus 2}$  and  $n \geq 3$ . Let  $\rho \in \Lambda$  prim. s.t.  $\rho^2 < 0$ ,  $K = \mathbb{Z}\rho$ ,  $L = K^\perp$ . If  $-\sum_{\substack{\alpha \in \Lambda/L \oplus K \\ 0 < t < -m}} e_{t, \alpha_L} < \frac{1}{2}$ , then the irreducible component  $P_{m, \rho_*}^\Lambda$  of  $H_{m, \rho_*}^\Lambda$  is extremal.

## Example

In the K3 setting, for low degrees  $d \leq 7$ , this shows that all generators of  $\text{Eff}_{\mathbb{R}}^{NL}(\mathcal{F}_\Lambda)$  except the nodal Noether–Lefschetz divisor  $D_{0,0}$  are extremal in  $\overline{\text{Eff}}(\mathcal{F}_\Lambda)$ .

## Corollary (Barros–F.–Zuffetti)

The orthogonal modular variety  $\mathcal{F}_\Lambda$  with  $\Lambda = U^{\oplus 2} \oplus A_1(-1) \oplus A_1(-3)$  partially compactifying the moduli space  $\mathcal{M}_{\text{Kum}_2, 2}^1$  satisfies

$$\text{Eff}_{\mathbb{R}}^{NL}(\mathcal{F}_\Lambda) = \overline{\text{Eff}}(\mathcal{F}_\Lambda).$$

# The End